

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

WUMEI LIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-15287-MFK-JTG

Honorable Matthew F. Kennelly

Magistrate Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT
AGAINST THE DEFENDANT**

Plaintiff Wumei Lin. (“Plaintiff”) hereby moves for entry of Default and Default Judgment against the Defendants, THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE “A” [15-2], listed below and separately listed in Exhibit "1" to the accompanying Declaration of Joseph Droter (the "Droter Decl."). Plaintiff files a Memorandum of Law in support. Plaintiff’s Motion for Entry of Default and Default Judgment disposes of the case.

DATED: March 20, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
Katherine M. Kuhn (Bar No. 6331405)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC
233 S. Wacker Drive, 44th Floor, #57
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (702) 462-5973 | Fax: (702) 553-3404
Joseph@bayramoglu-legal.com
Katherine@bayramoglu-legal.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20th day of March 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing using the electronic case filing system. Notice of this filing is provided to unrepresented parties for whom contact information is listed below and provided via email and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <http://blointernetenforcement.com>, and a link to said website in the email provided by third-party, Temu.

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
 Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Seller's Name/ Seller ID	Seller's Email Address
Def. No. 19 CHUANGFEI ENTERPRISE LIMITED dba Gvvmn 634418216248187	duhuai334615396@163.com
Def. No. 32 Hong Kong Jingluo Limited dba Tinare 634418217582171	gfhdg5457h@sina.com
Def. No. 31 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Ornhnj 634418218103340	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com
Def. No. 38 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Wxzvfc 634418218103921	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com

Def. No. 34 HONG KONG MINGY AN LIMITED dba Txmvypi 634418218103921	jiaxazaa8@sohu.com
Def. No. 8 HONG KONG MO XU LIMITED dba Mekyktz 634418218311929	meiyangc2@sohu.com
Def. No. 13 HONG KONG MUHUI LIMITED dba WVLEIUE 634418218313707	ganpi30882@163.com
Def. No. 35 HONG KONG ZHILIANG LIMITED dba Lfwmyhi 634418218484995	alwayskids@163.com
Def. No. 36 HONG KONG YURUHAI LIMITED dba Atdzcxv 634418218571164	huayurong0724@yeah.net
Def. No. 16 HONG KONG ZHENGXIA O LIMITED dba Dlszdot 634418218575086	zzhusheng@yeah.net
Def. No. 14 HONG KONG HUXIONG LIMITED dba Tcekafp 634418218637341	erhaoliyi@126.com
Def. No. 37 HONG KONG HUY ONG LIMITED dba Mgrujtx	qinqingzhuqi@outlook.com

634418218753194	
Def. No. 7 HONG KONG XUJI LIMITED dba Lwtxqla 634418218956414	mayachigua@yeah.net
Def. No. 33 HONG KONG DENGZHA O LIMITED dba Ttrujzy 634418219328777	konglei2024@yeah.net
Def. No. 24 HONG KONG LIJIA YAO LIMITED dba Nxolpvy 634418219485712	xinjirufen2024@163.com
Def. No. 27 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba UCFWSEF 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 2 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba LLCXFKU 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 21 HONG KONG BOSHIRUI LIMITED dba Khbtwbs 634418220022539	tantebuan2024@163.com
Def. No. 17 HONG KONG ZHU ANGQIAN LIMITED dba FBZWITQ 634418220023739	pingxinjingqi2024@163.com
Def. No. 9 HONG KONG ZHENGHU AN LIMITED	yishenzhengqi0807@163.com

dba AXTSSLH 634418220535099	
Def. No. 28 HONG KONG PIND A LIMITED dba Otuing 634418220575467	zskdhjhj@163.com
Def. No. 6 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Qkhjhj 634418220826843	Vjdhjhkd@163.com
Def. No. 30 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Lqqujh 634418220828208	Vjdhjhkd@163.com
Def. No. 3 HONG KONG QIJAXI LIMITED dba Tdjhn 634418220852504	mhjhhhhb@163.com
Def. No. 20 HONG KONG HANGLIU LIMITED dba Kiauyn 634418220875138	wjhfjhjh@163.com
Def. No. 5 HONG KONG CHENDUN LIMITED dba Xerrnb 634418221021431	ijhdjhbb@163.com
Def. No. 11 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lwzxura 634418221077152	unod80239@outlook.com

Def. No. 15 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba KGGJPCW 634418221077689	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 25 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Oafkice 634418221077801	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 4 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Dcrhldl 634418221078273	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com
Def. No. 10 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba MWOCSK 634418221078539	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 29 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lisvrfo 634418221078975	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 23 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba vhdifvn 634418221078975	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 18 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba oapymjj 634418221715728	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 26 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba obzysrz	silkenskein@hotmail.com

634418221716854	
Def. No. 1 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba styhxth 634418221718679	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 22 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba cmvxtgd 634418221722841	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 12 HONG KONG L ANE LIMITED dba ECHNKIN 634418222084006	lindaiyu0716@126.com
Def. No. 39 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Xsxlekd 634418221079358	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

WUMEI LIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-15287-MFK-JTG

Honorable Matthew F. Kennelly

Magistrate Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**PLAINTIFF’S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ENTRY OF
DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiff Wumei Lin ("Plaintiff") hereby submits this Memorandum of Law in support of its Motion for Entry of Default and Default Judgment (the "Motion") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 ("Rule 55") against the Defendants, THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE “A” [15-2] ("Defaulting Defendants"), which has been separately listed in Exhibit "1" to the accompanying Declaration of Joseph W. Droter (the "Droter Decl."). Plaintiff's Motion is made and based upon this Memorandum of Law, the Droter Declaration, the Declaration of Wumei Lin (the "Lin Decl."), the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and any argument of counsel the Court may entertain. Plaintiff's Motion for entry of Default and Default Judgment disposes of the case.

I. INTRODUCTION

On February 5, 2026, the Court authorized electronic service via email on Defendants with granting Plaintiff's Motion and issuing an Order reflecting the same [25]. Plaintiff completed service on Defendants on February 26, 2026, and filed a Return of Service [35]. The deadline to respond to the First Amended Complaint was March 19, 2026.

Plaintiff seeks an award of \$5,000 statutory damages per copyright infringement per store operated by Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c) against the Defaulting Defendants, which Plaintiff requests to be enhanced to \$15,000 per image per store operated by Defendants for their willful infringement to of the following federally registered copyrights asserted in this action: VA0002441336 and VA0002441715 (the "Copyright Protected Photographs"). (Droter Decl. ¶ 5). Plaintiff additionally requests that the Court issue a permanent injunction against the Defaulting Defendants. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 502(a).

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(1)(A), the Defaulting Defendants had twenty-one (21) days to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint in this action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)", Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a), "when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default." Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

As alleged in the First Amended Complaint, here the Defaulting Defendants have publicly displayed unlicensed and unauthorized reproductions of Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Images on the Temu online sales platform (the "Platform") to market and sell competing products using

Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Photographs on its Temu storefronts ("Online Storefronts"). Thereby deceiving public consumers as to the quality, nature, and source of goods being purchased. (Droter Decl. ¶ 6). Moreover, the Defaulting Defendants are alleged to be operating as part of a coordinated, sophisticated network that utilizes a common supply chain and manufacturing source to fulfill consumer orders. As of the filing of this Motion, more than twenty-one days (21) have expired since electronic service was effectuated on the Defendants, (Droter Decl. ¶ 4). To date, the Defaulting Defendants have not answered or otherwise responded to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. (*Id.*). Therefore, the Clerk of the Court is compelled to enter default pursuant to Rule 55(a) against the Defaulting Defendants.

When the Court determines that a Defendants are in default, the factual allegations of the complaint are taken as true and may not be challenged, and the Defendants are liable as a matter of law as to each cause of action alleged in the complaint. *Black v. Lane*, 22 F.3d 1395, 1399 (7th Cir. 1994). Here, Defaulting Defendants have willfully and intentionally infringed Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Photographs, supporting the Plaintiff's request for enhanced statutory damages. Plaintiff meets the requirements for entry of the requested default judgment under Rule 55(b)(2).

IV. ARGUMENT

A. Jurisdiction and Venue Are Proper in This Court

This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)--(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. [Dkt. No. 15 at 6-11]. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since the Defendants directly target business activities toward consumers in Illinois and causes harm to

Plaintiff's business within this judicial district. [*Id.*]; *see also uBID, Inc. v. GoDaddy Grp., Inc.*, 623 F.3d 421, 423-24 (7th Cir. 2010) (without benefit of an evidentiary hearing, plaintiff bears only the burden of making a prima facie case for personal jurisdiction; all of plaintiff's asserted facts should be accepted as true and any factual determinations should be resolved in its favor. In the case at bar, it is unquestionable that the Defaulting Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this action.

B. Plaintiff Has Met the Requirements for Entry of Default Under Rule 55(a)

Pursuant to Rule 55(a), "when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default." Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff clearly meets these requirements. Here, Plaintiff filed its First Amended Complaint alleging, among other claims, Copyright Infringement (Count I). [15 at 45-61]. Defendants were properly served with the First Amended Complaint, Summons, and all supporting documents via electronic service on February 26, 2026. [35]. The Defaulting Defendants had twenty-one (21) days to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(a)(1)(A). Here, the Defaulting Defendants were required to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint on or before March 19, 2026. [*Id.*]. As of the filing of this Motion, more than twenty-one (21) days have expired since electronic service was effectuated on the Defendants. (Dorter Decl. ¶ 4). To date, the Defaulting Defendants have not answered or otherwise responded to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. (*Id.*). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is compelled to enter default and default judgment pursuant to Rule 55 against the Defaulting Defendants.

C. Plaintiff is Entitled to Entry of the Requested Default Judgment

A default judgment establishes, as a matter of law, that named, unresponsive Defendants are liable for each cause of action alleged against them in the complaint. *Di Mucci*, 879 F.2d at 1497. When a court determines that Defendants are in default, the factual allegations of the complaint are taken as true and may not be challenged, and the Defendants are liable as a matter of law as to each cause of action alleged in the complaint upon entry of default judgment. *Black*, 22 F.3d at 1399. Here, more than twenty-one (21) days have passed since Defendants were served, and no answer or other responsive pleading has been filed by the Defaulting Defendants. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)*. Therefore, an entry of a default judgment is appropriate.

Moreover, Plaintiff is entitled to the following remedies through the issuance of a default judgment against the Defaulting Defendants: (1) an award of \$5,000 in statutory damages per image infringement per store operated by Defendants for copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1); (2) an award of enhanced \$15,000 in statutory damages per copyright infringement per store operated by Defendants for willful infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2); (3) entry of a permanent injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a).

1. Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1).

Plaintiff is entitled to such relief for the Defaulting Defendants' infringement of the company's Copyright Protected Images, which it maintains was done willfully and intentionally. (Droter Decl. ¶ 12). A copyright owner is entitled to recover the actual damages suffered for infringement, and any profits of the infringer that are attributable to the infringement and are not taken into account in computing the actual damages. 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). In establishing the infringer's profits, the copyright owner is required to present proof only of the infringer's gross revenue, and the infringer is required to prove his or her deductible expenses and the elements of

profit attributable to factors other than the copyrighted work. 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). "[S]tatutory damages have been held to be appropriate on a motion for default judgment because the defaulting party has the information needed to prove actual damages." *White v. Marshall*, 771 F.Supp.2d 952, 956 (E.D. Wis. 2011); *see also Wondie v. Mekuria*, 742 F.Supp.2d 118, 124-25 (D.D.C. 2010); *Lifted Research Grp., Inc. v. Behdad, Inc.*, 591 F.Supp.2d 3, 8 (D.D.C. 2008). In this case at bar, Plaintiff has asserted a viable claim for infringement of its Copyright Protected Photographs. To prove copyright infringement, a plaintiff must show: "(1) ownership of a valid copyright; and (2) copying of constituent elements of the work that are original." *JWC Invs., Inc. v. Novelty, Inc.*, 482 F.3d 910, 914 (7th Cir. 2007). A certificate of copyright registration provides a *prima facie* presumption of validity. *Mid. American Title Co. v. Kirk*, 59 F.3d 719, 721 (7th Cir. 1995). Here, Plaintiff has alleged its ownership of the asserted Copyright Protected Images in its First Amended Complaint [15] and has supplied the Court with a summary of all registrations issued by the United States Copyright Office [Dkt. 15-1]. Moreover, Plaintiff has set forth considerable factual allegations establishing the Defaulting Defendants have infringed the company's Copyright Protected Images. [Dkt. 15-2]. Therefore, the Defaulting Defendants has infringed the company's Copyright Protected Images.

Next, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages given the circumstances in this action. An award for statutory damages is appropriate because actual damages "are often virtually impossible to prove . . ." *White*, 771 F.Supp.2d at 956. In awarding statutory damages, the court is not required to follow any rigid formula. *Id.* (citing *Chi-Boy Music v. Charlie Club, Inc.*, 930 F.2d 1224, 1229 (7th Cir. 1991)). Instead, the court enjoys wide discretion in setting a statutory damage award within the prescribed range from \$750 to \$30,000 per infringement. *Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Star Amusements, Inc.*, 44 F.3d 485, 489 (7th Cir. 1995). The court may consider

such factors as the difficulty or impossibility of proving actual damages, the circumstances of the infringement, and the efficacy of the damages as a deterrent to future copyright infringement. *Chi-Boy Music*, 930 F.2d at 1229. Here, Plaintiff has established unquestionably viable copyright infringement claims in this case. Additionally, the Defaulting Defendants' willful refusal to appear and defend against the asserted claims has deprived Plaintiff of the ability to present evidence concerning verifiable infringing sales or costs associated with such sales. (Droter Decl. ¶ 7).

Specifically, Plaintiff has neither obtained, nor is the Defaulting Defendants participating in these proceedings, so that the Court can be provided with the infringers' deductible expenses related to the sale of the competing products associated with the unauthorized use and public display of Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Photographs. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). As such, there is no verifiable information concerning the Defaulting Defendants' gross infringing sales of their competing products using Plaintiff's copyrights or the associated deductible expenses from same. (Droter Decl. ¶ 7). Moreover, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, irreparable harm through the Defaulting Defendants' unauthorized use of its federally registered copyright protected photographs asserted in this action. (Lin Decl. ¶ 11). This results in the direct harm to Plaintiff's brand reputation and loss of exclusive licenses, both of which are harms that are virtually impossible to ascertain the resulting economic loss. (*Id.*). Therefore, an award of statutory damages is appropriate because actual damages are virtually impossible to prove in this case. *See White*, 771 F.Supp.2d at 956. Given the foregoing circumstances, and the nature of the Defaulting Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff asserts that it is entitled to an award of \$5,000 in statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendants per Copyright infringed per store operated by Defendants and submits an analysis showing the Defaulting Defendants, the copyright infringed, the Online Storefronts, and the enhanced statutory damages requested. (Kuhn Decl. ¶ 12, Exhibit. 1).

In this case, the Defaulting Defendants were provided with notice of these proceedings and, apparently, intentionally elected not to appear and defend. (Droter Decl. ¶ 7). As a result of the Defaulting Defendants' intentional decision not to appear and defend this action, Plaintiff has been deprived of a meaningful opportunity to assess the true nature of its actual damages. (*Id.*). The Defaulting Defendants have intentionally used the Copyright Protected Images for soliciting their competing product sales without obtaining a license and have never been authorized to use the Copyright Protected Photographs. (Lin Decl. ¶ 8). It is impossible to definitively calculate the Defaulting Defendants' total sales on the Platform through their Online Stores or to ascertain their expenses related to their infringing sales because they have failed to appear, defend, or otherwise participate in this action. (*Id.* ¶ 9). These facts unquestionably support Plaintiff's request statutory damages of the infringed Copyright Protected Images against the Defaulted Defendants.

The actions of the Defaulting Defendants' infringement clearly support awarding the requested statutory damage award against them. It is without question that the Defaulting Defendants have engaged in the intentional misappropriation and unauthorized use of the Copyright Protected Images. In this regard, Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Photographs, without a license, have appeared on the Defaulting Defendants' online stores maintained with the Platform. (Lin Decl. ¶ 6). These actions by the Defendants justify an award of statutory damages. Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court award statutory damages for copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1) in an amount not less than \$5,000.00 against the Defaulting Defendants per copyright infringement per store operated by Defendants. (Droter Decl. ¶ 12, Ex. 1).

2. Plaintiff is entitled to enhanced statutory damages.

Here, the Defaulting Defendants' infringement clearly supports awarding an enhanced statutory damage award against them. The Defaulting Defendants' infringing conduct in this action

are willful and continue to infringe even after notice of the lawsuit, thereby justifying enhanced damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2). Defaulting Defendants have engaged in the intentional misappropriation and unauthorized use of the Copyright Protected Images. (Droter Decl. ¶ 5, 12). Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Photographs, without obtaining a license, have appeared on the Defaulting Defendants' online store maintained with the Platform. (Lin Decl. ¶ 6). The Defaulting Defendants have clearly been operating their online stores using the unauthorized and unlicensed Copyright Protected Photographs. Upon information and belief, the Defaulting Defendants have been acting through their network to actively monitor and post information on the Plaintiff's pending cases on the website www.SellerDefense.cn. (Droter Decl. ¶ 8). This has apparently been done to advise Defendants in all pending actions of Plaintiff's successful prosecution of its claims, and the viability of appearing and asserting potential defenses. (*Id.*). These circumstances reveal an overall common scheme the Defaulting Defendants, to simply cut their losses where Plaintiff has a high likelihood of success, abandon any online storefronts, and bask in the security that any judgment issued against them will almost certainly not be collectable in the Republic of China. (Droter Decl. ¶ 8). Such circumstances support awarding Plaintiff for enhanced statutory damages in this action. *See Chi-Boy Music*, 930 F.2d at 1229. The facts presented further support awarding the enhanced statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendants on the grounds that they should serve as a deterrent to future conduct. *Id.* at 1229-30. Here, the Defaulting Defendants are watching the results of Plaintiff's copyright infringement enforcement actions in this judicial district. (Droter Decl. ¶ 8). To maximize the deterrent effect of the Court's anticipated default and default judgment, Plaintiff is asking that enhanced statutory damages be imposed on the Defaulting Defendants for each alleged infringement of the Copyright Protected Images. (*Id.* ¶ 9). The Defaulting Defendants has simply taken the apparent position that any recovery issued by a court

is not executable against their assets on the named online platform in the U.S. This conduct demonstrates an intentional willingness to ignore the Court's authority to impose significant statutory damages in this action to send a message to the Defaulting Defendants, and all other similar infringers, that they will incur substantial liability for their actions. In doing so, hopefully the Defaulting Defendants, or other similar infringers monitoring this case, will post this anticipated award on the www.SellerDefense.cn website as notice of the consequences for their intentional, and orchestrated actions.

Here, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter an award of \$5,000 statutory damages per copyright infringement per store operated by Defendants, which should be enhanced to \$15,000 for willful infringement by Defaulted Defendants per copyright infringement per store operated by Defendants, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2). A request analysis is provided as Exhibit 1 to the Droter Declaration. (Droter Decl. ¶ 12, Exhibit. 1).

3. Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction.

Next, Plaintiff is entitled to the entry of a permanent injunction against the Defaulting Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a), which authorizes courts to “grant temporary and final injunctions on such terms as it may deem reasonable to prevent or restrain infringement of a copyright.”

In determining whether permanent injunctive relief is appropriate, courts apply the four-factor test set forth in *eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.*, 547 U.S. 388, 391 (2006), requiring a showing that: (1) Plaintiff has suffered an irreparable injury; (2) remedies available at law are inadequate to compensate for that injury; (3) the balance of hardships favors injunctive relief; and (4) the public interest would not be disserved by a permanent injunction. Each factor weighs decisively in favor of granting injunctive relief here.

First, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm absent injunctive relief. In *White v. Marshall*, the court noted that copyright infringement often constitutes irreparable harm and that monetary damages are inadequate, justifying injunctive relief. *White v. Marshall*, 771 F. Supp. 2d 952. Additionally, courts have recognized a presumption of irreparable harm in copyright cases, further supporting the issuance of permanent injunctions. *See also In re Aimster Copyright Litig.*, 252 F. Supp. 2d 634. Here, the Defaulting Defendants have willfully reproduced and publicly displayed Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Images without authorization in connection with online product listings. (Droter Decl. ¶ 5). Such conduct causes loss of control over Plaintiff's copyrighted works, damage to brand goodwill, and consumer confusion; harms that are inherently difficult to quantify and not fully compensable through monetary damages alone. Moreover, the Defaulting Defendants' failure to appear or participate in this action demonstrates a substantial risk of continued infringement absent court-ordered relief.

Second, legal remedies are inadequate. In cases where Defendants fail to respond or appear, courts have consistently granted permanent injunctions as part of default judgments, particularly when there is evidence of ongoing or likely future infringement. *See Virgin Records Am. Inc. v. Johnson*, where the court granted a permanent injunction under § 502(a) due to the Defendants' failure to respond and the likelihood of continued infringement, emphasizing the public interest in upholding copyright protections. 441 F. Supp. 2d 963. Because the Defaulting Defendants have elected not to appear, Plaintiff lacks any meaningful ability to monitor, deter, or prevent future infringement through monetary relief alone. Courts routinely recognize that where Defendants operate anonymous or foreign-based online storefronts, monetary damages are insufficient to prevent continued unlawful conduct.

Third, the balance of hardships strongly favors Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks only to prohibit the Defaulting Defendants from engaging in unlawful conduct, namely the unauthorized use and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted images. The Defaulting Defendants have no legitimate interest in continuing infringing activities and therefore will suffer no cognizable hardship from compliance with a permanent injunction.

Finally, public interest is served by the enforcement of federal copyright laws and the prevention of consumer deception. Granting injunctive relief promotes respect for intellectual property rights and discourages future infringement, particularly in the online marketplace context.

Because the Defaulting Defendants have failed to respond, defend, or otherwise participate in this action, and because the record establishes a strong likelihood of continued infringement absent injunctive relief, entry of a permanent injunction is appropriate and warranted under 17 U.S.C. § 502(a). Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter a permanent injunction prohibiting the Defaulting Defendants, and all persons acting in concert with them, from directly or indirectly infringing Plaintiff's copyrighted images.

CONCLUSION

Under Rule 55(b)(2), Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court for entry of a default judgment finding the Defaulting Defendants liable on all counts asserted in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. [Dkt. 15]. These asserted counts include claims for Copyright Infringement (Count I). In granting its request, Plaintiff asks the Court to award the following: (1) \$5,000 in statutory damages per image infringement per store operated by Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1); (2) enhanced statutory damages of \$15,000 against the Defaulting Defendants per

image infringement per store operated by Defendants based on their willful infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2); (3) issuance of a permanent injunction against the Defaulting Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a); and (4) such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: March 20, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
Katherine M. Kuhn (Bar No. 6331405)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC
233 S. Wacker Drive, 44th Floor, #57
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (702) 462-5973 | Fax: (702) 553-3404
Joseph@bayramoglu-legal.com
Katherine@bayramoglu-legal.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20th day of March 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing using the electronic case filing system. Notice of this filing is provided to unrepresented parties for whom contact information is listed below and provided via email and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <http://blointernetenforcement.com>, and a link to said website in the email provided by third-party, Temu.

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
 Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Seller's Name/ Seller ID	Seller's Email Address
Def. No. 19 CHUANGFEI ENTERPRISE LIMITED dba Gvvmn 634418216248187	duhuai334615396@163.com
Def. No. 32 Hong Kong Jingluo Limited dba Tinare 634418217582171	gfhdg5457h@sina.com
Def. No. 31 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Ornhnj 634418218103340	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com
Def. No. 38 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Wxzvfc 634418218103921	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com

Def. No. 34 HONG KONG MINGY AN LIMITED dba Txmvypi 634418218103921	jiaxazaa8@sohu.com
Def. No. 8 HONG KONG MO XU LIMITED dba Mekyktz 634418218311929	meiyangc2@sohu.com
Def. No. 13 HONG KONG MUHUI LIMITED dba WVLEIUE 634418218313707	ganpi30882@163.com
Def. No. 35 HONG KONG ZHILIANG LIMITED dba Lfwmhyi 634418218484995	alwayskids@163.com
Def. No. 36 HONG KONG YURUHAI LIMITED dba Atdzcxv 634418218571164	huayurong0724@yeah.net
Def. No. 16 HONG KONG ZHENGXIA O LIMITED dba Dlszdot 634418218575086	zzhusheng@yeah.net
Def. No. 14 HONG KONG HUXIONG LIMITED dba Tcekafp 634418218637341	erhaoliyi@126.com
Def. No. 37 HONG KONG HUY ONG LIMITED dba Mgrujtx	qinqingzhuqi@outlook.com

634418218753194	
Def. No. 7 HONG KONG XUJI LIMITED dba Lwtxqla 634418218956414	mayachigua@yeah.net
Def. No. 33 HONG KONG DENGZHA O LIMITED dba Ttrujzy 634418219328777	konglei2024@yeah.net
Def. No. 24 HONG KONG LIJIA YAO LIMITED dba Nxolpvy 634418219485712	xinjirufen2024@163.com
Def. No. 27 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba UCFWSEF 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 2 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba LLCXFKU 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 21 HONG KONG BOSHIRUI LIMITED dba Khbtwbs 634418220022539	tantebuan2024@163.com
Def. No. 17 HONG KONG ZHU ANQIAN LIMITED dba FBZWITQ 634418220023739	pingxinjingqi2024@163.com
Def. No. 9 HONG KONG ZHENGHU AN LIMITED	yishenzhengqi0807@163.com

<p>dba AXTSSLH 634418220535099</p>	
<p>Def. No. 28 HONG KONG PIND A LIMITED dba Otuing 634418220575467</p>	<p>zskdhjhj@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 6 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Qkhjhj 634418220826843</p>	<p>Vjdhjhkd@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 30 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Lqqujh 634418220828208</p>	<p>Vjdhjhkd@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 3 HONG KONG QIJAXI LIMITED dba Tdjihn 634418220852504</p>	<p>mhjhhhhb@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 20 HONG KONG HANGLIU LIMITED dba Kiauyn 634418220875138</p>	<p>wjhfjhjh@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 5 HONG KONG CHENDUN LIMITED dba Xerrnb 634418221021431</p>	<p>ijhdjhbb@163.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 11 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lwzxura 634418221077152</p>	<p>unod80239@outlook.com</p>

Def. No. 15 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba KGGJPCW 634418221077689	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 25 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Oafkice 634418221077801	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 4 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Dcrhldl 634418221078273	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com
Def. No. 10 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba MWOCSK 634418221078539	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 29 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lisvrfo 634418221078975	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 23 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba vhdifvn 634418221078975	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 18 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba oapymjj 634418221715728	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 26 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba obzysrz	silkenskein@hotmail.com

<p>634418221716854</p>	
<p>Def. No. 1 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba styhxth 634418221718679</p>	<p>silkenskein@hotmail.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 22 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba cmvxtgd 634418221722841</p>	<p>silkenskein@hotmail.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 12 HONG KONG L ANE LIMITED dba ECHKIN 634418222084006</p>	<p>lindaiyu0716@126.com</p>
<p>Def. No. 39 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Xsxlekd 634418221079358</p>	<p>xingxingyinyue2024@126.com</p>

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

WUMEI LIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-15287-MFK-JTG

Honorable Matthew F. Kennelly

Magistrate Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**DECLARATION OF JOSEPH W. DROTER IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

I, Joseph W. Droter, of the City of Chicago, in the State of Illinois, declare as follows:

1. Except as otherwise expressly stated to the contrary, this declaration is based upon my personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the statements made herein.

2. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Default and Default Judgment (the “Motion”) against the Defendants, THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE “A” HERETO (“Defaulting Defendants”), which have been separately listed in Exhibit “1” to the Motion.

3. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of Illinois and the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. I am one

of the attorneys for Plaintiff Wumei Lin (“Plaintiff”). I make this declaration from my matters within my own knowledge unless stated otherwise.

4. I hereby certify that the Defaulting Defendants (as defined in the accompanying Memorandum) have failed to plead or otherwise defend this action within twenty-one (21) days after being served with the Summons and First Amended Complaint in this action in violation of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(1)(A). Specifically, the Defendants were served with copies of the Summons and Complaint via electronic service authorized by the Court on February 26, 2026, which is reflected in the Return of Summons filed in this case. [35]. As of the filing of this Motion, more than twenty-one (21) days have expired since electronic service was effectuated on the Defendants. The Defaulting Defendants have not answered or otherwise responded to Plaintiff’s Complaint in this action.

5. Plaintiff’s asserted claims for relief in this action involve the intentional, willful infringement of the following Federally Registered Copyrights: VA0002441336 and VA0002441715 (the “Copyright Protected Images”).

6. As alleged in the First Amended Complaint, the Defaulting Defendants have displayed, without authorization, the Copyright Protected Images on the Temu online sales platform (the “Platform”) to market and sell competing products using Plaintiff’s authentic Copyright Protected Images through their online stores (the “Online Stores”), thereby deceiving public consumers as to the quality, nature, and source of goods being purchased.

7. Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages in this action as described in **Exhibit 1** to this Declaration, which shows the Defendant, amount of funds restrained, and Statutory Damages Request based on the copyright infringement. First, the Defaulting Defendants were provided with notice of these proceedings and, apparently, intentionally elected not to appear and defend this

action. As a result of the Defaulting Defendants' intentional decision not to appear and defend this action, Plaintiff has been deprived of a meaningful opportunity to assess the true nature of its actual damages. This uncertainty supports Plaintiff's requested statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendants.

8. In addition, defendants in multiple copyright enforcement actions in this judicial district, which includes the Defaulting Defendants, have been acting through their network to actively monitor and post information on the Plaintiff's pending cases on the website www.SellerDefense.cn. This has apparently been done to advise defendants in all pending actions of Plaintiff's successful prosecution of its claims, and the viability of appearing and asserting potential defenses. These circumstances reveal an overall strategy by all non-appearing defendants, including the Defaulting Defendants, to simply cut their losses where Plaintiff has a high likelihood of success, abandon their online stores, and bask in the security that any judgment issued against them will almost certainly not be collectable in the Republic of China. Simply put, the Defaulting Defendants are watching the results of Plaintiff's copyright infringement enforcement actions in this judicial district.

9. To maximize the deterrent effect of the Court's anticipated default and default judgment, Plaintiff is asking that enhanced statutory damages be imposed on the Defaulting Defendants for each alleged infringement of the Copyright Protected Images.

10. Such an award precludes the Defaulting Defendants from shielding themselves from monetary responsibility for the collective infringement of common Copyright Protected Images. *Desire, LLC v. Manna Textiles, Inc.*, 986 F.3d 1253, 1264-1272 (9th Cir. 2021). Rather, Plaintiff expressly requests that the Defaulting Defendants, be assessed an enhanced statutory

damage award as described in **Exhibit 1** to this Declaration for their infringement of the Copyright Protected Images.

11. Plaintiff has alleged, and has offered proof, that the Defaulting Defendants have engaged in the infringement of the Copyright Protected Images. Moreover, the basic nature of the copyright infringement scheme employed demonstrates that the Defaulting Defendants not only knew of the impropriety of their conduct but had to implement their scheme through sophisticated sources and established supply chains. This is the only possible scenario under which the Defaulting Defendants could immediately procure, without authorization, Plaintiff's copyright protected product images and offer them for sale through their online stores.

12. The presented facts not only establish the Defaulting Defendants' knowledge and intentional infringement of Plaintiff's Copyright Protected Images. Accordingly, Plaintiff should be awarded statutory damages as described in **Exhibit 1** to this Declaration, with treble the enhancement against Defaulted Defendants based on their continuing willful infringement after receiving notice of this lawsuit of the Copyright Protected Images.

13. My office, with assistance from our client and those assisting our client, investigated the infringing activities of the Defaulting Defendants, including attempting to identify their contact information. Our investigation confirmed that the Defaulting Defendants are primarily domiciled in Asia. As such, I am informed and believe that the Defaulting Defendants are not active-duty members of the U.S. armed forces.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: March 20, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
Katherine M. Kuhn (Bar No. 6331405)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC
233 S. Wacker Drive, 44th Floor, #57
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (702) 462-5973 | Fax: (702) 553-3404
Joseph@bayramoglu-legal.com
Katherine@bayramoglu-legal.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 20th day of March 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing using the electronic case filing system. Notice of this filing is provided to the unrepresented parties for whom contact information is listed below and provided via email and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <http://blointernetenforcement.com>, and a link to said website in the email provided by third-party, Temu.

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
 Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Seller's Name/ Seller ID	Seller's Email Address
Def. No. 19 CHUANGFEI ENTERPRISE LIMITED dba Gvvmn 634418216248187	duhuai334615396@163.com
Def. No. 32 Hong Kong Jingluo Limited dba Tinare 634418217582171	gfhdg5457h@sina.com
Def. No. 31 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Ornhnj 634418218103340	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com
Def. No. 38 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Wxzvfic 634418218103921	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com
Def. No. 34 HONG KONG MINGY AN LIMITED dba Txmvypi 634418218103921	jiaxazaa8@sohu.com

Def. No. 8 HONG KONG MO XU LIMITED dba Mekyktz 634418218311929	meiyangc2@sohu.com
Def. No. 13 HONG KONG MUHUI LIMITED dba WVLEIUE 634418218313707	ganpi30882@163.com
Def. No. 35 HONG KONG ZHILIANG LIMITED dba Lfwmhyi 634418218484995	alwayskids@163.com
Def. No. 36 HONG KONG YURUHAI LIMITED dba Atzcxv 634418218571164	huayurong0724@yeah.net
Def. No. 16 HONG KONG ZHENGXIA O LIMITED dba Dlszdot 634418218575086	zzhusheng@yeah.net
Def. No. 14 HONG KONG HUXIONG LIMITED dba Tcekafp 634418218637341	erhaoliyi@126.com
Def. No. 37 HONG KONG HUY ONG LIMITED dba Mgrujtx 634418218753194	qinqingzhuqi@outlook.com
Def. No. 7 HONG KONG XUJI LIMITED dba Lwtxqla 634418218956414	mayachigua@yeah.net
Def. No. 33 HONG KONG DENGZHA O LIMITED dba Ttrujzy 634418219328777	konglei2024@yeah.net

Def. No. 24 HONG KONG LIJIA YAO LIMITED dba Nxolpvy 634418219485712	xinjirufen2024@163.com
Def. No. 27 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba UCFWSEF 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 2 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba LLCXFKU 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 21 HONG KONG BOSHIRUI LIMITED dba Khbtwbs 634418220022539	tantebuan2024@163.com
Def. No. 17 HONG KONG ZHU ANGQIAN LIMITED dba FBZWITQ 634418220023739	pingxinjingqi2024@163.com
Def. No. 9 HONG KONG ZHENGHU AN LIMITED dba AXTSSLH 634418220535099	yishenzhengqi0807@163.com
Def. No. 28 HONG KONG PIND A LIMITED dba Otuing 634418220575467	zskdhjhj@163.com
Def. No. 6 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Qkhjhj 634418220826843	Vjdhjhkd@163.com

Def. No. 30 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Lqqujh 634418220828208	Vjdhjhkd@163.com
Def. No. 3 HONG KONG QIJAXI LIMITED dba Tdjjhn 634418220852504	mhjhhhb@163.com
Def. No. 20 HONG KONG HANGLIU LIMITED dba Kiauyh 634418220875138	wjhfjhjh@163.com
Def. No. 5 HONG KONG CHENDUN LIMITED dba Xerrnb 634418221021431	ijhdjhbb@163.com
Def. No. 11 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lwzxura 634418221077152	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 15 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba KGGJPWC 634418221077689	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 25 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Oafkice 634418221077801	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 4 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Dcrhldl 634418221078273	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com
Def. No. 10 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba MWOCSK 634418221078539	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 29 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lisvrfo	unod80239@outlook.com

634418221078975	
Def. No. 23 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba vhdifvn 634418221078975	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 18 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba oapymjj 634418221715728	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 26 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba obzysrz 634418221716854	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 1 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba styhxth 634418221718679	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 22 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba cmvxtgd 634418221722841	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 12 HONG KONG L ANE LIMITED dba ECHKIN 634418222084006	lindaiyu0716@126.com
Def. No. 39 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Xsxlekd 634418221079358	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

Seller's Name/ Seller ID	Seller's Email Address	Amount Restrained	Copyright Infringed	Amount Requested per Image Infringed on	Enhanced for Willful Infringement Request
Def. No. 19 CHUANGFEI ENTERPRISE LIMITED dba Gvvmn 634418216248187	duhuai334615396@163.com	2101.11	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 32 Hong Kong Jingluo Limited dba Tinare 634418217582171	gfhdg5457h@sina.com	2452.04	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 31 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Ornhnj 634418218103340	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com	2273.99	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 38 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Wxzvfc 634418218103921	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com	2343.32	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 34 HONG KONG MINGY AN LIMITED dba Txmvypi 634418218103921	jiaxazaa8@sohu.com	2136.75	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 8 HONG KONG MO XU LIMITED dba Mekyktz 634418218311929	meiyangc2@sohu.com	2285.22	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$45,000
Def. No. 13 HONG KONG MUHUI LIMITED dba WVLEIUE	ganpi30882@163.com	2359.33	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$45,000

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

634418218313707					
Def. No. 35 HONG KONG ZHILIANG LIMITED dba Lfwmyhi 634418218484995	alwayskids@163.com	2468.28	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 36 HONG KONG YURUHAI LIMITED dba Atdzcxv 634418218571164	huayurong0724@yeah.net	2266.15	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 16 HONG KONG ZHENGXIA O LIMITED dba Dlszdot 634418218575086	zzhusheng@yeah.net	3678.74	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 14 HONG KONG HUXIONG LIMITED dba Tcekafp 634418218637341	erhaoliyi@126.com	656.97	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$45,000
Def. No. 37 HONG KONG HUY ONG LIMITED dba Mgrujtx 634418218753194	qinqingzhuqi@outlook.com	2340.91	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 7 HONG KONG XUJI LIMITED dba Lwtxqla 634418218956414	mayachigua@yeah.net	1648.30	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 33 HONG KONG DENGZHA O LIMITED	konglei2024@yeah.net	2115.21	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

dba Ttrujzy 634418219328777					
Def. No. 24 HONG KONG LIJIA YAO LIMITED dba Nxolpvy 634418219485712	xinjirufen2024@163.com	0.00	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000	\$30,000
Def. No. 27 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba UCFWSEF 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com	0.00	VA0002441715	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 2 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba LLCXFKU 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com	0.00	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 21 HONG KONG BOSHIRUI LIMITED dba Khbtwbs 634418220022539	tantebuan2024@163.com	59.91	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 17 HONG KONG ZHU ANGQIAN LIMITED dba FBZWITQ 634418220023739	pingxinjingqi2024@163.com	0.50	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 9 HONG KONG ZHENGHU AN LIMITED dba AXTSSLH 634418220535099	yishenzhengqi0807@163.com	1732.77	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA000244171	\$5,000x3	\$45,000
Def. No. 28 HONG KONG PIND A LIMITED	zskdhjhj@163.com	2229.81	VA0002441715	\$5,000	\$15,000

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

dba Otuing 634418220575467					
Def. No. 6 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Qkhjhj 634418220826843	Vjdhjhkd@163.com	1985.31	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 30 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Lqqujh 634418220828208	Vjdhjhkd@163.com	1556.39	VA0002441715	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 3 HONG KONG QIJAXI LIMITED dba Tdjjhn 634418220852504	mjhjhbb@163.com	1972.55	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def No. 20 HONG KONG HANGLIU LIMITED dba Kiauyh 634418220875138	wjfhjh@163.com	2166.09	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 5 HONG KONG CHENDUN LIMITED dba Xerrnb 634418221021431	ijhdjhbb@163.com	2026.71	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 11 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lwzxura 634418221077152	unod80239@outlook.com	1321.28	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$45,000
Def. No. 15 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED	unod80239@outlook.com	18.38	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$45,000

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

dba KGGJPC 634418221077689					
Def. No. 25 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Oafkice 634418221077801	unod80239@outlook.com	37.82	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 4 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Dcrhldl 634418221078273	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com	40.87	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 10 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba MWOCSK 634418221078539	unod80239@outlook.com	0.00	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 29 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lisvrfo 634418221078975	unod80239@outlook.com	635.32	VA0002441715	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 23. HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba vhdifvn 634418221078975	z9234ras@163.com	71.49	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 18 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba oapymjj 634418221715728	z9234ras@163.com	51.35	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000
Def. No. 26 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED	silkenskein@hotmail.com	7330.46	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000

Wumei Lin v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and
Unincorporated Associations Identified in Schedule A**EXHIBIT 1**

dba obzysrz 634418221716854					
Def. No. 1 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba styhxt 634418221718679	silkenskein@hotmail.com	17722.58	VA0002441336 VA0002441336	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def. No. 22 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba cmvxtgd 634418221722841	silkenskein@hotmail.com	1511.43	VA0002441715 VA0002441715	\$5,000x2	\$30,000
Def No 12. HONG KONG L ANE LIMITED dba ECHKIN 634418222084006	lindaiyu0716@126.com	3197.23	VA0002441336 VA0002441336 VA0002441715	\$5,000x3	\$30,000
Def. No. 39. HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Xsxlekd 634418221079358	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com	0.00	VA0002441336	\$5,000	\$15,000

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

WUMEI LIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-15287-MFK-JTG

Honorable Matthew F. Kennelly

Magistrate Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**DECLARATION OF WUMEI LIN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S
MOTION FOR DEFAULT AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

I, Wumei Lin, of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province of the People’s Republic of China, declare as follows:

1. Except as otherwise expressly stated to the contrary, this declaration is based upon my personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the statements made herein.

2. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Default and Default Judgment (the “Motion”).

3. I am the owner of the photographs protected by copyright registrations VA0002441336 and VA0002441715 (the “Copyright Protected Photographs”). I make this declaration from matters within my own personal knowledge unless stated otherwise.

4. Plaintiff licenses the Copyright Protected Photographs to certain companies for use in connection with the advertising and sale of clothing and apparel. The Defaulting Defendants are not authorized to reproduce, distribute, or display the Copyright Protected Photographs.

5. Plaintiff seeks an award of statutory damages against the Defendants (the “Defaulting Defendants”) in this action. The Defaulting Defendants are accused of intentionally and willfully infringing Plaintiff’s following federally registered copyrights asserted in this action: VA0002441336 and VA0002441715 (the “Copyright Protected Photographs”).

6. It is without question that the Defaulting Defendants have engaged in the intentional misappropriation and unauthorized use of the Copyright Protected Images. In this regard, Plaintiff’s Copyright Protected Photographs, without obtaining a license, have appeared on the Defaulting Defendants’ online stores, maintained with the Temu Platform (the “Online Stores”).

7. The basic nature of the copyright infringement scheme employed demonstrates that the Defaulting Defendants not only knew of the impropriety of their conduct but had to implement their scheme through sophisticated sources and established supply chains.

8. The Defaulting Defendants have intentionally used the Copyright Protected Images for soliciting their competing product sales without obtaining a license and have never been authorized to use the Copyright Protected Photographs.

9. It is impossible to definitively calculate the Defaulting Defendants’ total sales on the Platform through their Online Stores or to ascertain their expenses related to their infringing sales because they have failed to appear, defend, or otherwise participate in this action.

10. The Defaulting Defendants named in the company’s copyright infringement enforcement actions are engaged in the practice of copying Plaintiff’s copyright protected

photographs and then associating these images with the sale and promotion of unauthorized products of questionable quality, thereby deceiving consumers – including the citizens of the State of Illinois. Plaintiff maintains that the Defaulting Defendants are acting in concert, pursuant to a common scheme, whereby they copy the copyright protected images, without authorization, or such unauthorized images are being provided by the same common source associated with manufacturing the competing products being sold on the Defaulting Defendants’ Platform storefronts.

11. Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, irreparable harm through the Defaulting Defendants’ unauthorized use of its federally registered copyright protected photographs asserted in this action. This results in the direct harm to Plaintiff’s brand reputation and loss of exclusive licenses, both of which are harms that are virtually impossible to ascertain the resulting economic loss.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 20, 2026, in Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province of the People’s Republic of China.

By: /s/ Wumei Lin
WUMEI LIN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the March 20, 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, using the electronic case filing system. The electronic case filing system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means. Notice of this filing is provided to unrepresented parties for whom contact information has been provided via email and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <https://blointernetenforcement.com/>, and distributed to ecommerce platform, Temu.

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter
 Joseph W. Droter (Bar No. 6329630)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Seller's Name/ Seller ID	Seller's Email Address
Def. No. 19 CHUANGFEI ENTERPRISE LIMITED dba Gvvmn 634418216248187	duhuai334615396@163.com
Def. No. 32 Hong Kong Jingluo Limited dba Tinare 634418217582171	gfhdg5457h@sina.com
Def. No. 31 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Ornhnj 634418218103340	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com
Def. No. 38 HONGKONG YUJIN LIMITED dba Wxzvfic	caomeijiangjiang@outlook.com

634418218103921	
Def. No. 34 HONG KONG MINGY AN LIMITED dba Txmvypi 634418218103921	jiaxazaa8@sohu.com
Def. No. 8 HONG KONG MO XU LIMITED dba Mekyktz 634418218311929	meiyangc2@sohu.com
Def. No. 13 HONG KONG MUHUI LIMITED dba WVLEIUE 634418218313707	ganpi30882@163.com
Def. No. 35 HONG KONG ZHILIANG LIMITED dba Lfwmhyi 634418218484995	alwayskids@163.com
Def. No. 36 HONG KONG YURUHAI LIMITED dba Atzcxv 634418218571164	huayurong0724@yeah.net
Def. No. 16 HONG KONG ZHENGXIA O LIMITED dba Dlszdot 634418218575086	zzhusheng@yeah.net
Def. No. 14 HONG KONG HUXIONG LIMITED dba Tcekafp 634418218637341	erhaoliyi@126.com
Def. No. 37 HONG KONG HUY ONG LIMITED dba Mgrujtx 634418218753194	qinqingzhuqi@outlook.com

Def. No. 7 HONG KONG XUJI LIMITED dba Lwtxqla 634418218956414	mayachigua@yeah.net
Def. No. 33 HONG KONG DENGZHA O LIMITED dba Ttrujzy 634418219328777	konglei2024@yeah.net
Def. No. 24 HONG KONG LIJIA YAO LIMITED dba Nxolpvy 634418219485712	xinjirufen2024@163.com
Def. No. 27 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba UCFWSEF 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 2 HONG KONG FENGJIANG LIMITED dba LLCXFKU 634418219485712	xinpingqihe0807@163.com
Def. No. 21 HONG KONG BOSHIRUI LIMITED dba Khbtwbs 634418220022539	tantebuan2024@163.com
Def. No. 17 HONG KONG ZHU ANGQIAN LIMITED dba FBZWITQ 634418220023739	pingxinjingqi2024@163.com
Def. No. 9 HONG KONG ZHENGHU AN LIMITED dba AXTSSLH 634418220535099	yishenzhengqi0807@163.com
Def. No. 28 HONG KONG PIND A LIMITED dba Otuung	zskdhjhj@163.com

634418220575467	
Def. No. 6 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Qkhjhj 634418220826843	Vjdhjkd@163.com
Def. No. 30 HONG KONG ZUO ZHENG LIMITED dba Lqqujh 634418220828208	Vjdhjkd@163.com
Def. No. 3 HONG KONG QIJAXI LIMITED dba Tdjjhn 634418220852504	mjhjhbb@163.com
Def. No. 20 HONG KONG HANGLIU LIMITED dba Kiauyh 634418220875138	wjhfjhj@163.com
Def. No. 5 HONG KONG CHENDUN LIMITED dba Xerrnb 634418221021431	ijhdjhbb@163.com
Def. No. 11 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lwzxura 634418221077152	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 15 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba KGGJWC 634418221077689	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 25 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Oafkice 634418221077801	unod80239@outlook.com

Def. No. 4 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Dcrhldl 634418221078273	xingxingyinyue2024@126.com
Def. No. 10 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba MWOCSK 634418221078539	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 29 HONG KONG CAIYING LIMITED dba Lisvrfo 634418221078975	unod80239@outlook.com
Def. No. 23 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba vhdifvn 634418221078975	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 18 HONG KONG BA OHU A LIMITED dba oapymjj 634418221715728	z9234ras@163.com
Def. No. 26 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba obzysrz 634418221716854	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 1 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba styhxth 634418221718679	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 22 HONG KONG Y ALE LIMITED dba cmvxtgd 634418221722841	silkenskein@hotmail.com
Def. No. 12 HONG KONG L ANE LIMITED dba ECHNKIN 634418222084006	lindaiyu0716@126.com

<p>Def. No. 39 HONG KONG HUIXINBA LIMITED dba Xsxlekd 634418221079358</p>	<p>xingxingyinyue2024@126.com</p>
---	---