

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 25-23740-CIV-MARTINEZ

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN
TECHNOLOGY CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE A,

Defendants.

SEALED ORDER EXTENDING TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiff's Sealed *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") [ECF No. 19]. Plaintiff moves to extend the TRO issued by this Court on December 29, 2025, (*see* [ECF No. 14]), to allow time for third-party provider Amazon to respond to Plaintiff's expedited discovery requests, (*see* [ECF No. 17]).

Plaintiff is "working diligently to serve the Defendants." (Mot. 1). On January 8, 2026, Amazon was electronically served with the TRO and expedited discovery requests, and as of the date of Plaintiff's Motion, Amazon has not yet provided the e-mail addresses for the named Defendants so that electronic service may be executed on them. (*See id.*). Accordingly, being unable to inform Defendants of a preliminary injunction motion, Plaintiff moves to temporarily extend the TRO, allowing Amazon more time to provide the requested information so that service may be executed and the Defendants may receive notice of a future motion for a preliminary injunction. (*See id.* at 2). Plaintiff is concerned that if Defendants are notified about this action


before their accounts are restrained, Defendants will use various means—described in the Court’s order granting the TRO—to conceal their identities, transfer their assets, and thwart Plaintiff’s ability to obtain relief. (*See id.*).

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(2), the Court may issue a TRO for fourteen (14) days, and “for good cause shown” may extend a TRO for a “like period of time.” Courts in this District have found good cause to extend a TRO where the plaintiff’s efforts to effectuate service and procure expedited discovery responses remain pending. *See Gelsinger v. Individuals*, No. 24-cv-21693, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133921 (S.D. Fla. June 7, 2024) (granting preliminary injunction after extension of TRO was granted to facilitate proper service on defendants).

After careful consideration, and finding good cause to extend the TRO, it is hereby:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff’s *Ex Parte* Motion [ECF No. 19] is **GRANTED**. The TRO shall be extended for an additional fourteen (14) days, now expiring on **January 26, 2026, at 11:59 p.m.** All terms and conditions of the TRO remain in full force and effect for the time hereby extended.

DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this 13 day of January 2026.



JOSE E. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies provided to:
All Counsel of Record