

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION

Case Number: 25-22562-CIV-MARTINEZ

XYZ CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON
SCHEDULE "A",

Defendants.

**SEALED ORDER GRANTING *EX PARTE* MOTION TO
EXTEND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO"), (ECF No. 20). Plaintiff moves to extend the TRO issued by this Court on November 10, 2025, (*see* ECF No. 18), to allow time for third-party provider Walmart to respond to Plaintiff's expedited discovery requests. (ECF No. 20 at 1). On November 18, 2025, Walmart was electronically served, and Plaintiff provided a process server with documents for personal service, requesting that personal service be effectuated immediately on Walmart's registered agent. (*Id.*). As of the date of this Order, Walmart has not yet provided the e-mail addresses for the named Defendants for electronic service to be executed on them. (*Id.*).

Accordingly, being unable to inform Defendants of a preliminary injunction motion, Plaintiff moves to temporarily extend the TRO, allowing Walmart more time to provide the requested information so that service may be executed and the Defendants may receive notice of a future motion for a preliminary injunction. Plaintiff is concerned that if Defendants are notified

about this action before their accounts are restrained, Defendants will use various means—described in the Court’s order granting the TRO—to conceal their identities, transfer their assets, and thwart Plaintiff’s ability to obtain relief. (*See* ECF No. 18).

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(2), the Court may issue a TRO for fourteen (14) days, and “for good cause shown” may extend a TRO for a “like period of time.” Courts in this District have found good cause to extend a TRO where the plaintiff’s efforts to effectuate service and procure expedited discovery responses remain pending. *See Gelsinger v. Individuals*, No. 24-cv-21693, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 133921 (S.D. Fla. June 7, 2024) (granting preliminary injunction after extension of TRO was granted to facilitate proper service on defendants).

After careful consideration, and finding good cause to extend the TRO, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff’s *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend the TRO is **GRANTED**. The TRO will be extended for an additional fourteen (14) days, now expiring on **December 8, 2025, at 11:59 p.m.** All terms and conditions of the TRO remain in full force and effect for the time hereby extended.

DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this 26th day of November 2025.



**RODOLFO A. RUIZ II for
JOSE E. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Copies provided to:
All counsel of record