

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

WUMEI LIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE "A" HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-12661-MSS

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Wumei Lin, ("Plaintiff"), hereby files its First Amended Complaint for damages and injunction relief for copyright infringement against the Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships, and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule "A" hereto (collectively, the "Defendants") and in support of his claims states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in and to United States Copyright Registrations for specific photographic art. Plaintiff owns the following federal copyright registration number for his original photographic images, including: [REDACTED] ("Copyrighted Images"). See Copyright Registration and Copyrighted Images at Issue Attached as **Exhibit 1**.

2. Plaintiff is a professional photographer who licenses his photographic works to clothing companies for commercial use in advertising and marketing.

3. These Defendants are online storefronts (“Online Stores”) operating on the Temu Platform, which have used Plaintiff’s Copyrighted Images without authorization to promote and sell competing products.

4. Plaintiff has never licensed to these Defendants for any use of the Copyrighted Images, nor are these Defendants otherwise authorized to display the images.

5. These Defendants’ unlawful use of Plaintiff’s Copyrighted Images on the Temu Platform deprives Plaintiff of licensing revenue, undermines his ability to issue exclusive licenses, and causes irreparable harm to his livelihood and reputation.

6. These Defendants, acting together in concert, cause mass harm because Plaintiff, an individual, loses his source of income and control over his Copyrighted Images.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)–(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

8. This Court may exercise personal jurisdiction over each of these Defendants because each Defendant directly targets business activities towards consumers in Illinois, through their Online Stores on the Temu Platform, identified in Schedule “A” attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

9. These Defendants have targeted sales to the United States by operating these e-commerce stores, which cater to United States consumers, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accept payment in U.S. dollars, and sell products using illicit copies Plaintiff’s federally registered copyrights.

10. Specifically, these Defendants copy and display Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images without license or authorization to residents of Illinois through the Temu Platform.

11. Each of these Defendants are properly subjected to personal jurisdiction in Illinois. Each Defendant is a foreign entity with sufficient contacts here, as they have systematically and continuously used Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images for commercial purposes in this judicial district. This systematic exploitation in the United States, and here specifically, Illinois, of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images for commercial purposes makes it reasonably foreseeable that these Defendants would be hauled into answer in a court in Illinois. The exercise of jurisdiction in this Court complies with due process.

12. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because these Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction and none of these Defendants, based on a pre-suit investigation, are residents of the United States. Each of these Defendants are engaging in infringing activities and causing harm within the Northern District of Illinois by displaying Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images for commercial purposes within the district, and advertising, offering to sell, selling, and/or shipping products to consumers in this district.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

13. Plaintiff is an individual and the owner of the Copyrighted Images, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

14. Plaintiff owns all rights, including, without limitation, the rights to reproduce the Copyrighted Images in copies, prepare derivative works based upon the copyrighted works, and distribute copies of the Copyrighted Images to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease, or lending the Copyrighted Images. See **Exhibit 1**.

15. Plaintiff licenses his Copyrighted Images to clothing suppliers so they can use them to market their products, which is how Plaintiff earns a living.

16. Plaintiff earns his livelihood by licensing his works, often through exclusive licenses to fashion brands.

17. Plaintiff has issued exclusive licenses over his Copyrighted Images involved in this suit.

18. Loss of exclusivity and control over these Copyrighted Images creates serious harm to Plaintiff, who relies on limiting the availability of the Copyrighted Images to sustain their value.

19. Unauthorized use by these Defendants destroys exclusivity, devalues Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images, and diminishes future licensing opportunities.

20. Plaintiff has neither licensed nor authorized these Defendants to use the Copyrighted Images.

21. Plaintiff allowing the continued distribution of the non-licensed clothing using Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images, by not enforcing licensing, devalues the artwork that the Plaintiff produces in that:

- a) it prevents the Copyrighted Images from being used by the licensees of these images to make their high-quality products appear distinct; and
- b) it shows future licensees that buying an exclusive license comes with no guarantee of exclusivity, which means it is not worth the purchase.

22. Plaintiff's livelihood depends on the ability to control the licensing of his Copyrighted Images, which the swarm of illicit actors makes incredibly difficult, while simultaneously depreciating Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images for every day that Plaintiff lacks control over it.

23. The damage to Plaintiff of continued infringement is imminent, irreparable, and unquantifiable.

Defendants

24. Defendants are individuals and business entities of unknown corporate organization and/or structure, who own and/or operate one or more of the Online Stores on the Platform as identified on Schedule "A". See **Exhibit 2**.

25. It is believed that these Defendants reside and/or operate in foreign jurisdictions outside the United States.

26. These Defendants conduct business across the United States, including in this judicial district of Illinois, through the operation of their Online Stores listed in Schedule "A". Exhibit 2. These Defendants have offered to sell and have sold products using illicit copies of Plaintiff's original Copyrighted Images without permission. See **Exhibit 3** for links to infringing uses of the copyrights on each of the Defendants' Online Stores, and comparison of the Copyrighted Images compared to the infringing use.

27. Most third-party online marketplace platforms, like the Platform in this case, do not verify new sellers or confirm their identities. This allows infringers to use fake or inaccurate names, business details, and addresses when creating their online stores. These platforms also typically do not require sellers to reveal their actual business entities, enabling infringers to set up multiple profiles and stores that seem unrelated but are actually controlled by the same individuals.

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

28. The quality of Plaintiff's work and the brands he licenses his work to have attracted many illicit infringers who copy and display Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images to sell cheap competing versions of some of the clothing depicted in his art.

29. Upon information and belief, all Defendants are a connected group of infringers working together to knowingly and willfully use Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images around the same timeframe, without permission, to manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell the clothing depicted within.

30. Upon information and belief, these Defendants have had full knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership and authorship of the Copyrighted Images.

31. Plaintiff has identified numerous stores on the Temu Platform, including these Defendants' online stores, which display Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images around the same timeframe.

32. Plaintiff seeks to shut down these Defendants' Online Stores, where these Defendants display copies they made of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images without permission.

33. Fraudulent seller alias registration patterns are among the common tactics used by Internet store operators, such as these Defendants, to conceal their identities and the full extent of their illicit activities, and to avoid shutdown.

34. These Defendants operate under various seller aliases creating the impression that these Defendants are multiple, separate entities when listed on a Schedule "A" enforcement action.

35. By making these seller aliases appear as unrelated entities, These Defendants recognize they may cause a joinder issue in any multi-defendant enforcement case, thereby further avoiding liability.

36. These Defendants' intentional tactic of hiding behind multiple seller aliases to obstruct enforcement aims to sustain illicit infringement activities.

37. Without joinder of each of these Defendants, the Defendants' illicit strategy to dodge liability will succeed because individual lawsuits are costly, time consuming, and burdensome for the courts.

38. Each of these Defendants is properly joined in this case because it is highly probable that they are collaborating or are not separate entities, and they are listed separately only to continue their illegal activities without liability. Each of the competing products are identical to each other and to Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works. *See* Exhibit 3.

39. This conclusion is enhanced by the fact that these Defendants use the Copyrighted Images to sell the same clothing items, which they must produce on a larger scale to try and make a profit on the market. It is unlikely that any single Defendant could sustain manufacturing at such a large scale alone.

40. Due to the large number of infringers Plaintiff faces, individual infringers can get lost in the swarm, especially since these Defendants can easily disappear and open new stores, making enforcement of the Plaintiff's IP against individual infringers impracticable.

41. Furthermore, infringers, like these Defendants and likely including these Defendants, operate multiple credit card merchant accounts and third-party accounts, which are hidden behind layers of payment gateways, enabling them to continue their operations despite enforcement efforts.

42. Based on information and belief, these Defendants maintain offshore bank accounts and regularly transfer funds from their Platform accounts to offshore banks outside this Court's jurisdiction, especially since it is believed that these Defendants reside abroad.

43. These Defendants' Online Stores bear similarities and indicating their interrelatedness.

44. Notable features standard to these Defendants' Online Stores includes a lack of contact information, the same or similar products for sale, identically or similarly priced items, sales discounts, shared hosting service, identical name servers, and their common illicit infringement of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images.

45. These Defendants' use of Plaintiff's intellectual property devalues the same by eliminating and/or diluting the exclusiveness of the licenses Plaintiff has issued.

46. Unless restrained temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently by this Court, these Defendants' infringing conduct will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

47. Upon information and belief, these Defendants will continue to infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights for commercial purposes unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

COUNT I
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.)

48. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference herein its allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 47, above.

49. Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images have substantial value and were produced and created at significant expense.

50. Plaintiff owns all exclusive rights, including the rights to reproduce the Copyrighted Images into copies, create derivative works based on copyright registrations, and to distribute copies of the copyrighted images to the public through sale, transfer of ownership, rental, lease, or lending. See Exhibit 1.

51. These Defendants continue to use Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images to sell their goods in this and other judicial districts.

52. These Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images, for commercial purposes, on their Online Stores, constitutes copyright infringement.

53. On information and belief, these Defendants' infringing acts are willful, deliberate, and committed with prior notice and knowledge of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images.

54. Each Defendant either knew, or should have reasonably known, that Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images were registered with the Copyright Office, as they did not create them and should have, at a minimum, checked before displaying them.

55. As a direct and proximate result of these Defendants' unauthorized and infringing conduct, these Defendants have obtained and continue to realize direct and indirect profits and other benefits rightfully belonging to Plaintiff, which these Defendants would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images.

56. The acts of infringement described above constitute a collective enterprise involving shared, conspiratorial, and overlapping actions done in coordination. These acts were willful, intentional, and performed with disregard for and indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff. Therefore, these Defendants, and each of them, should be held jointly and severally liable.

57. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks an award of damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504.

58. In addition to actual damages, Plaintiff is entitled to receive the profits made by these Defendants from their wrongful acts, under 17 U.S.C. § 504(b). Each Defendant should be required to account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived by each of these Defendant from their acts of infringement.

59. In the alternative, Plaintiff is entitled to and may elect to choose statutory damages under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), which should be enhanced by 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) because of these Defendants' willful copyright infringement.

60. Plaintiff is entitled to and may elect to choose injunctive relief under 17 U.S.C. § 502, enjoining any use or exploitation of the Copyright Images by these Defendants

61. Plaintiff had to hire and agree to compensate at a reasonable rate the undersigned firm; therefore, Plaintiff seeks and is also entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit under 17 U.S.C. § 505.

62. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and, if these Defendants' actions are not enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable harm to his reputation as an artist, because the lack of exclusivity over Plaintiff's works will devalue his portfolio and future ability to license his work.

63. Reputational damage and the devaluation of one's future works cannot be remedied by monetary damages. Instead, they are irreparable injuries lacking adequate remedies at law, without an injunction.

64. Under 17 U.S.C. §§502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each of these Defendants from further displaying Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images, ordering that each Defendant destroy all unauthorized copies, Defendants' copies, plates, and other embodiments of the copyrighted works from which copies can be reproduced, if any, should be impounded and forfeited to Plaintiff as instruments of infringement, and all infringing copies created by Defendants should be impounded and forfeited to Plaintiff, under 17 U.S.C §503.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against these Defendants and each of them as follows:

1. That these Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. using Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images or any reproductions, counterfeit

copies, or colorable imitations thereof in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with its registered copyrights;

b. further infringing the Copyrights and damaging Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill;

c. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning these Defendants' stores on the Defendants' Online Stores or the Temu Platform, or any other domain name or online marketplace account that is being used to sell or is the means by which the Defendants could continue to conduct commercial activity using the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Images; and

d. operating and/or hosting websites at the Defendants' Online stores and any other domain names registered or operated by the Defendants that are involved with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product through the unauthorized use of the Copyrighted Images. .

2. That these Defendants, within fourteen (14) days after service of judgment with notice of entry thereof upon them, be required to file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which these Defendants have complied with paragraph 1 above.

3. Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with these Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including in our case here the Temu Platform, as well as AliExpress, Walmart, Amazon, DHgate, eBay, Temu, and Wish, social media platforms such as Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, TikTok, LinkedIn, X, Internet search engines such as Google, Bing and Yahoo, web hosts for these Defendants' Online Stores, and domain name

registrars (“Third Party Providers”), shall:

a. disable and cease providing services for any accounts through which these Defendants engage in commercial activity using Plaintiff’s Copyrighted Images, including any accounts associated with these Defendants listed on Schedule “A”;

b. disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants that display the Copyrights; and

c. take all necessary steps to prevent links to these Defendants’ Online Stores identified on Schedule “A” from displaying in search results, including, but not limited to, removing links to these Defendants’ domain names from any search index.

4. That these Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all profits realized by them through the unauthorized use of the Copyrighted Images

5. In the alternative, Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages of not less than \$750 and not more than \$30,000 for every infringement of the Copyrights under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), which should be enhanced to a sum of not more than \$150,000 by 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) because of these Defendants’ willful copyright infringement.

6. Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs.

7. Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff also demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

Dated: October 29, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph W. Droter

Joseph W. Droter (IL Bar No. 6329630)

Katherine M. Kuhn (IL Bar No. 6331405)

Gokalp Bayramoglu (NV Bar No. 15500)

Nihat Deniz Bayramoglu (NV Bar No. 14030)

Nazly Bayramoglu (NM Bar No. 151569)

BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

233 S. Wacker Drive, 44th Floor #57

Chicago, IL 60606

Tel: (702) 462-5973 | Fax: (702) 553-3404

joseph@bayramoglu-legal.com

katherine@bayramoglu-legal.com

deniz@bayramoglu-legal.com

nazly@bayramoglu-legal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1
FILED
UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 2
FILED
UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 3
FILED
UNDER SEAL