

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

| | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| HONG KONG LEYUZHEN |) | |
| TECHNOLOGY CO. LIMITED, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Case No. 25-cv-07743 |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Hon. Steven C. Seeger |
| |) | |
| THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, |) | |
| LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, |) | |
| PARTNERSHIPS AND |) | |
| UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS |) | |
| IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE "A" |) | |
| HERETO, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| <hr style="border: 0.5px solid black;"/> | | |
| |) | |

ORDER

Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co. Limited, ("PLAINTIFF") filed a Motion for Entry of a Temporary Restraining Order and Other Relief (the "Motion") against the fully interactive, e-commerce stores¹ operating under the domain names on Amazon identified in Schedule A to the Complaint and attached hereto (collectively, "Defendants") and using at least the online marketplace accounts identified in Schedule A (the "Online Marketplaces"). After reviewing the Motion and the accompanying record, this Court GRANTS PLAINTIFF's Motion as follows:

The Court's findings stated below and throughout this order are in the absence of adversarial presentation.

The Court finds that it has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants directly target their business activities toward consumers in the United States, including consumers in the State of Illinois. Specifically, PLAINTIFF has provided a basis to conclude that Defendants have

¹ The e-commerce store URLs are listed on Schedule A hereto under the Online Marketplaces.

targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more seller aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including to the State of Illinois, and have sold knockoff products through the unauthorized use and display of PLAINTIFF's federally registered copyrights (the "Plaintiff's Copyrights") to residents of the State of Illinois. (Docket No. 2-1, Exhibit 1 to the Complaint, which includes the federally registered copyrights VA0002384829, VA0002379930, VA0002381840, VA0002369378, VA0002381842, VA0002379907, VA0002381125, VA0002381142, VA0002379894, associated with the Plaintiff's Copyrights and attached hereto).

In this case, PLAINTIFF has presented screenshot evidence that each Defendant's e-commerce store on Amazon is reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive internet stores that display, without authorization, the Plaintiff's Copyrights through which Illinois residents can and do purchase knockoff Plaintiff's, Rotita Brand products. *See* Docket No. [2-3] Exhibit 3 to the Complaint, which includes screenshot evidence and internet link confirming that each Defendant's Internet store displays the Plaintiff's Copyrights without authorization in offering knockoff Plaintiff's products and they stand ready, willing, and able to ship its knockoff goods to customers in Illinois. Accordingly, the Court finds that PLAINTIFF stands a likelihood of success on the merits of its copyright infringement and state law deceptive trade practices claims for relief.

The Court additionally finds that issuance of the requested injunctive relief would be in the public interest by protecting consumers from being misled by the unauthorized display of the Plaintiff's Copyrights by Defendants on their Internet stores in enticing the purchase of knockoff Plaintiff's products that are of inferior quality. The Court also finds that it need not balance the interests of Defendants in this case because there is credible evidence to conclude they are engaged in, among other things, willful copyright infringement of the Plaintiff's Copyrights.

The Court also finds that issuing this Order without notice pursuant to Rule 65(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because PLAINTIFF has presented specific facts in the Declaration of Joshua H. Sheskin in support of the Motion and accompanying evidence clearly showing that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result to the movant before the adverse party can be heard in opposition. Specifically, in the absence of an *ex parte* Order, Defendants could and likely would move any assets from accounts in financial institutions under this Court's jurisdiction to offshore accounts. Accordingly, this Court Orders as follows:

1. Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily enjoined and restrained from:

- a. Using or displaying the Plaintiff's Copyrights, in any medium, whether it be print, digital or otherwise, in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Plaintiff's product or is not authorized by PLAINTIFF to be sold in connection with the Plaintiff's Copyrights;
- b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Plaintiff's product or any other product produced by PLAINTIFF through the use or display of the Plaintiff's Copyrights;
- c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendants' products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of PLAINTIFF, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with PLAINTIFF; and
- d. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner,

products or inventory not manufactured by or for PLAINTIFF, nor authorized by PLAINTIFF to be sold or offered for sale through the use or display of the Plaintiff's Copyrights.

2. PLAINTIFF is authorized to issue expedited written discovery to Defendants, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 33, 34, 36, and 45 related to:

- a. the identities and locations of Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and any persons acting in active concert or participation with them, including all known contact information and all associated e-mail addresses;
- b. the nature of Defendants' operations and all associated sales, methods of payment for services, and financial information, including, without limitation, identifying information associated with the Online Marketplaces and Defendants' financial accounts, including Defendants' sales and listing history related to their respective Online Marketplaces; and
- c. any financial accounts owned or controlled by Defendants, including their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and any persons acting in active concert or participation with them, including such accounts residing with or under the control of any banks, savings and loan associations, payment processors or other financial institutions, including, without limitation, Temu.com, PayPal Inc. ("PayPal"), Alipay, ContextLogic Inc. d/b/a Wish.com ("Wish.com"), Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. ("Alibaba"), Ant Financial Services Group ("Ant Financial"), Amazon Pay, Afterpay, Klarna or other merchant account providers, payment providers, third party processors, and credit card associations (e.g., MasterCard and VISA).
- d. The domain name registries for the Defendant Domain Names, including, but not limited to, VeriSign, Inc., Neustar, Inc., Afilias Limited, CentralNic, Nominet, and

the Public Interest Registry, and the domain name registrars, including, but not limited to, GoDaddy Operating Company LLC, Name.com, PDR LTD. d/b/a/ PublicDomainRegistry.com, Cloudflare Inc, Oracle Corp., Amazon Inc., Alibaba Group d/b/a Alibaba Cloud.com, Namesilo, LLC d/b/a privacuguardian.org, and Namecheap Inc., within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of this Order or prior to the expiration of this Order, whichever date shall occur first, shall disable the Defendant Domain Names and make them inactive and untransferable until further order by this Court.

3. The Court authorizes Plaintiff to serve third-party discovery on an expedited basis, with responses due not less than 10 days after service. The requests shall be proportional to the immediate needs of the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). For example, a request for “documents sufficient to show X” is better than a request for “all documents showing X.” Plaintiff shall consider what documents it genuinely needs at this early stage, and shall take into account the burden on third parties. Plaintiff shall work cooperatively with third parties impacted by this Order and make reasonable, good faith efforts to ease the burdens imposed by Plaintiff’s request for expedited discovery.

4. PLAINTIFF may provide notice of the proceedings in this case to Defendants, including notice of the preliminary injunction hearing, service of process pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3), and any future motions, by both electronically publishing a link to the Complaint, this Order, and other relevant documents on a website and sending an e-mail to any e-mail addresses provided for Defendants by third parties. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue a single original summons in the name of “THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE A HERETO” that shall apply to all Defendants. The combination of providing notice via both

electronic publication and e-mail, along with any notice that Defendants receive from payment processors, shall constitute notice reasonably calculated under all circumstances to apprise Defendants of the pendency of the action and afford them the opportunity to present their objections.


5. PLAINTIFF must provide notice to Defendants of any motion for preliminary injunction as required by Rule 65(a)(1).

6. Within seven (7) business days of entry of this Order, PLAINTIFF shall deposit with the Court \$1,000.00 per defendant, either cash, cashier's check or surety bond, as security, which amount has, in the absence of adversarial testing, been deemed adequate for the payment of such damages as any person may be entitled to recover as a result of a wrongful restraint hereunder.

7. Any Defendants that are subject to this Order may appear and move to dissolve or modify the Order as permitted by and in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Northern District of Illinois Local Rules. Any third party impacted by this Order may move for appropriate relief.

This Temporary Restraining Order without notice is entered at 5:00 p.m. on this sixth day of October, 2025, and shall remain in effect for fourteen (14) calendar days. Any motion to extend this Order must be filed by October 20, 2025.

Date: October 6, 2025



Steven C. Seeger
United States District Judge

| Defendant No. | Seller's Name | Link to Seller's Website |
|---|---|---|
| VA0002384829, VA0002379930, VA0002381840, VA0002369378, VA0002381842, VA0002379907, VA0002381125, VA0002381142, VA0002379894 | | |
| 1 | Dengzhoushiximi baihuodian | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AG59YYIB2I20H&asin=B0CJKYSY98&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 2 | YUWYUO | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1BRL72L0P77HP&asin=B0D2L8TQQT&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 3 | SUMBERY | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1V1P7MVR9O7D5&asin=B0DTPVN13T&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 4 | JHOKCI | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AMHK6JE7TEH16&asin=B0C6XY6FGV&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 5 | clearance deals 2025 Unstoppable | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A2O1NPRRA9N6YG&asin=B0DRHSSNG5&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 6 | xingqiba | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AQH4JAV7FYQQS&asin=B0CJQR19F3&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 7 | QinHuangDaoGu aBiGuShangMao | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A58Y48RSTWCQS&asin=B0DB23DS3B&ref_=dp_merchant_link |
| 8 | MAKEMECHIC | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A2U6S5K6X6XQ55&asin=B0D3V1NS41&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1 |
| 9 | Teddy and Lily | https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A2THZJ0Y3HCOEI&asin=B0CVKC1YZ9&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1 |