

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: 1-25-cv-22016-SINGHAL

TOHO CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff Toho Co., Ltd. (“Plaintiff”), pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, 17 U.S.C. § 502, Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, and The All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C § 1651(a), respectfully moves for entry of a preliminary injunction order (the “Motion”) against the Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships, and Unincorporated Associations (the “Defendant”) identified in Schedule “A” to the First Amended Complaint [Dkt. No. 18-1], which enjoins the manufacture, importation, distribution, offer for sale, and sale of goods using and/or displaying Plaintiff’s federally registered trademark or in connection with and through the unauthorized use and display of Plaintiff’s federally registered copyrights.

Plaintiff’s Motion is made based on the pleadings on file in this action, Plaintiff’s Renewed Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Including a Temporary Asset Restraint, and Expedited Discovery [Dkt. No. 21] and the Declarations attached thereto, this Motion, and the Declaration of Emily Heim (the “Heim Decl.”).

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff brought this action against the Defendant for Trademark Infringement and Counterfeiting (Count I), False Designation of Origin (Count II), Common Law Trademark Infringement (Count III), Common Law Unfair Competition (Count IV), and Copyright Infringement (Count V). [Dkt. No. 18] As alleged in the Complaint, Defendant is promoting, advertising, marketing, distributing, offering for sale, and selling counterfeit products (“Counterfeit GODZILLA Products”), in connection with Plaintiff’s U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 2,360,489; 4,183,291; and 7,245,324 (the “GODZILLA Trademarks”) and/or Copyright Registration Nos. PA0000187943; PA0000595595; PA0000785448; PA0000796964; PA0000796966; PA0000967584; PA0001035743; PA0001036332; and PA0001151212 (the “GODZILLA Copyrights”), through various fully interactive commercial Internet website operating under at least the fully interactive e-commerce store listed in Schedule A to the Complaint (the “Internet Store”).

Defendant’s ongoing unlawful activities should continue to be restrained. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court issue preliminary injunction order (1) restraining Defendant’s continued manufacture, importation, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of Counterfeit GODZILLA Products and (2) restraining Defendant’s assets to preserve Plaintiff’s right to an equitable accounting.

Plaintiff’s well-pled factual allegations, which must be accepted as true, and evidence submitted through declarations filed in support with the Renewed Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [Dkt. No. 21], establish that issuing a preliminary injunction order against Defendant is necessary and proper. Plaintiff has already demonstrated a strong likelihood of success on the merits. Plaintiff is the owner of its valid federally registered trademarks and

copyrights and is the distributor of genuine GODZILLA brand products. Defendant's use of the GODZILLA Copyrights to sell competing products deceives members of the public who seek to purchase genuine GODZILLA brand Products. The issuance of a preliminary injunction order is also in the public interest because it will prevent confusion among the public and prevent unknowing consumers from being deceived into purchasing products of unknown quality from an unknown source, based on the use of the unauthorized use of the GODZILLA Trademarks.

A. Procedural History.

On August 4, 2025, this Court granted Plaintiff's request for a temporary restraining order ("TRO") on an *ex parte* basis. [Dkt. No. 30]. On July 25, 2025, the Court also authorized and directed Plaintiff to provide notice of these proceedings to the Defendant by electronically publishing a link to the Complaint, the TRO, and other relevant documents on a website, together with effectuating electronic service by e-mail transmission to any addresses provided for the Defendant by any third party online platforms, such as the Internet Store's website host, payment processors, and financial institutions. [Dkt. No. 27.] On August 5, 2025, Plaintiff served third party payment processor, PayPal with the TRO. (Heim Decl. ¶ 5). On August 8, 2025, PayPal produced the Defendant's email addresses. (Heim Decl. ¶ 5). Plaintiff effectuated electronic service of process on the Defendant on August 11, 2025. (*Id.* ¶ 6).

Based on the foregoing procedural history, including having effectuated electronic service of process to the Defendant as required by the Order Granting Motion for Alternate Service of Process by E-mail and/or Electronic Service [Dkt. No. 27], Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court now enter a Preliminary Injunction in this matter. Plaintiff further requests the Court issue a Minute Order setting a deadline for the Defendant to submit any opposition to the Motion prior to conducting a scheduled hearing. Plaintiff will immediately serve the Defendant electronically with

the requested Minute Order once it is issued by the Court. Substantively, as stated earlier, and as argued below, Plaintiff's request for issuance of a preliminary injunction is in full compliance with the applicable standards for granting such relief.

II. ARGUMENT

a. This Court has already found that the requirements for a preliminary injunction have been met.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court convert the TRO to a preliminary injunction to prevent further illegal conduct by Defendant. Plaintiff asserts that no circumstances have changed since Plaintiff had previously requested relief in the form of a Temporary Restraining Order. As such, Plaintiff is unaware of any new information that would affect the Court's original analysis.

The requirements for issuing a preliminary injunction are the same as those for entering a TRO, namely, "(1) a substantial likelihood of success on the merits; (2) that irreparable injury will be suffered if the relief is not granted; (3) that the threatened injury outweighs the harm the relief would inflict on the non-movant; and (4) that the entry of the relief would serve the public interest." *Yeti Coolers, LLC v. Individuals, Bus. Entities, & Unincorporated Ass'ns Identified on Schedule "A"*, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 240407, at *4 (S.D. Fla. November 18, 2024) (citing *Schiavo ex. rel Schindler v. Schiavo*, 403 F.3d 1223, 1225-26 (11th Cir. 2005)). As this Court has already entered a TRO on August 4, 2025, it has previously been found that the above requirements have been met. Therefore, the requirements for entry of a preliminary injunction extending the TRO have also been satisfied and Plaintiff's request should be granted.

"The determination of whether there is a substantial likelihood of success on the merits 'does not contemplate a finding of fixed quantitative value. Rather, a sliding scale can be employed, balancing the hardships associated with the issuance or denial of a preliminary

injunction with the degree of likelihood of success on the merits.” *Faculty S. of Fla. Int’l Univ. v. Winn*, 477 F. Supp. 2d 1198, 1203 (S.D. Fla. 2007) (citing *Fla. Med. Ass’n, Inc. v. U.S. Dept. of Health, Educ., & Welfare*, 601 F.2d 199, 203 n.2 (5th Cir. 1979)). For example, where “the balance of equities weighs heavily in favor of granting the [injunction],” the movant[s] need only show a substantial case on the merits.” *Gonzalez v. Reno*, 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 7025, 2000 WL 381901, at *1 (11th Cir. 2000).

As established by the evidence in the Declarations of William Brees [Dkt. No. 28-1] and Jay Paragoso [Dkt. No. 28-4] with the submission of the Amended Motion for TRO [Dkt. No. 28], and by this Court’s entry of the TRO [Dkt. No. 30], the above requirements for entry of a preliminary injunction have been satisfied. The record establishes that through the Defendant’s illegal operations the Defendant has infringed upon Plaintiff’s federally registered GODZILLA Copyrights and GODZILLA Trademarks. Thus, Plaintiff is entitled to preliminary injunctive relief.

b. The current bond is sufficient to protect Defendant’s interests.

The Court has previously required Plaintiff to post a bond in the sum of \$5,000.00 in connection with issuance of the TRO. The exact same circumstances supporting the Court’s determination of this bond amount apply to Plaintiff’s request for entry of a Preliminary Injunction. Such a strong showing militates against a subsequent finding that injunctive relief was improperly granted. Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the Court maintain the current bond amount required for issuance of the TRO for issuance of the preliminary injunction.

III. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

The TRO is currently set to expire on August 18, 2025. To allow ample time for Defendant to oppose the Motion and for the Motion to be heard, Plaintiff request an extension of the TRO for fourteen (14) days or until there is a ruling on the Preliminary Injunction Motion.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter a Preliminary Injunction. If required, a minute order setting a deadline for Defendant to oppose the Motion, which Plaintiff will immediately serve Defendant with the Minute Order if issued by the Court. Plaintiff requests a fourteen (14) day extension of the TRO or until there is a ruling on the Preliminary Injunction Motion. Plaintiff additionally respectfully requests the Court maintain the current bond amount required under the TRO, together with issuing any other relief that it deems just and proper.

Dated: August 12, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/William R. Brees
William R. Brees (FL Bar No. 98886)
william@bayramoglu-legal.com
Emily M. Heim (FL Bar No. 1015867)
emily@bayramoglu-legal.com
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC
1540 West Warm Springs, Suite 100
Henderson, NV 89014
Tel: (702) 462-5973 | Fax: (702) 553-3404
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, hereby certify that on the 12th day of August, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to the attorneys of record who have consented to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means. Notice of this filing is provided to unrepresented parties via e-mail address and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <http://blointernetenforcement.com>, and providing a link to said website in the service e-mail

/s/ William R. Brees
WILLIAM R. BREES (FL BAR NO. 98886)
BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: 1-25-cv-22016-SINGHAL

TOHO CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE "A" HERETO,

Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF EMILY M. HEIM IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

I, Emily Heim, of the City of Saint Petersburg, in the State of Florida, declare as follows:

1. Except as otherwise expressly stated to the contrary, this declaration is based upon my personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the statements made herein.
2. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction.
3. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of Florida and the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. I am one of the Attorneys for Plaintiff Toho Co., Ltd. ("Plaintiff"). I make this declaration from my matters within my own personal knowledge unless stated otherwise.
4. Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (the "TRO") was granted by the Court on August 4, 2025. [Dkt. No. 30].

5. On August 5, 2025, Plaintiff served third-party payment processor, PayPal with the TRO. On August 8, 2025, PayPal provided Plaintiff with the email addresses for the named Defendant listed in Schedule “A” (the “Defendant”) so that electronic service of process authorized under the TRO could be effectuated.

6. On August 11, 2025, Plaintiff effectuated electronic service of process on the Defendant. [Dkt. No. 31].

7. On August 12, 2025, Plaintiff delivered the required surety bond in the amount of \$5,000.00 to the clerk’s office.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 12, 2025, in St. Petersburg, Florida.

By: /s/ Emily M. Heim
EMILY M. HEIM, ES .

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 12, 2025, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, I will electronically publish the documents on a website, and I will send an e-mail to any e-mail addresses identified by the Platform, PayPal, for Defendant, including a link to said website.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ William R. Brees

William R. Brees (Bar No. 98886)

william@bayramoglu-legal.com

Emily M. Heim (Bar No. 1015867)

emily@bayramoglu-legal.com

BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

1540 West Warm Springs, Suite 100

Henderson, NV 89014

Telephone: (702) 462-5973

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No. 1:25-cv-1-25-cv-22016-SINGHAL

TOHO CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE
“A” HERETO,

Defendants.

PROPOSED PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court on Plaintiff Toho Co., Ltd.’s (“Plaintiff”) Motion for Preliminary Injunction (the “Motion”) [Dkt. No. 32] against the Defendant’s fully interactive e-commerce store¹ (the “Internet Store”) operating under the domain name identified in Schedule A to the First Amended Complaint. After reviewing the Motion and the accompanying record, this Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff’s Motion as follows:

This Court finds Plaintiff has provided notice to the Defendant in accordance with the Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) entered on August 4, 2025, [Dkt. No. 30] and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a)(1).

The Court also finds, in the absence of adversarial presentation, that it has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant directly targets its business activities toward consumers in the United States, including consumers in the State of Florida. Specifically, Plaintiff has provided a basis to conclude that the Defendant has targeted sales to Florida residents by setting

¹ The e-commerce store URL is listed on Schedule A attached hereto.

up and operating e-commerce stores by using one or more seller aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including to the State of Florida, and intentionally offering for sale counterfeit products (“Counterfeit GODZILLA Products”) in connection with the use and display of Plaintiff’s federally-registered GODZILLA trademarks and federally-registered copyrights. (collectively the “Plaintiff’s IP”).

In this case, Plaintiff has presented screenshot evidence that the Defendant is reaching out to do business with Florida residents by operating the Internet Store that uses, without authorization, Plaintiff’s IP through which Florida residents can and do purchase Counterfeit GODZILLA brand products utilizing Plaintiff’s trademarks and copying the subject matter of Plaintiff’s copyrights leading consumers to think they are purchasing Plaintiff’s legitimate products. *See* Dkt. No. 28-4, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 (including screenshot evidence and internet link confirming that the Defendant’s Internet Store displays the Plaintiff’s IP without authorization in connection with offering the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products and it stands ready, willing, and able to ship the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products to customers in Florida. Accordingly, the Court finds that Plaintiff stands a likelihood of success on the merits of its trademark infringement and counterfeiting, false designation of origin, common law trademark infringement, common law unfair competition, and copyright infringement claims for relief.

The Court additionally finds that issuance of the requested injunctive relief would be in the public interest by protecting consumers from being misled by the unauthorized use of Plaintiff’s IP by Defendant on its Internet Store to entice the purchase of the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products.

This Court also finds that the injunctive relief previously granted in the TRO should remain in place through the pendency of this litigation and that issuing this Preliminary Injunction is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65. Accordingly, this Court Orders as follows:

1. Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with it be temporarily enjoined and restrained from:

- a. Using Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks or any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks in connection with the manufacture, importation, distribution, advertisement, offer for sale and/or sale of merchandise that is not the genuine products of Plaintiff, or in any manner likely to cause others to believe that the infringing products are connected with Plaintiff or Plaintiff's genuine GODZILLA brand products;
- b. shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring, or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which use Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks;
- c. manufacturing, distributing, promoting, or selling any labels, tags, decals, emblems, signs or other forms of markings, packaging, wrappers, containers, or promotional materials bearing Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks or any marks that include or are colorable imitations of or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks;
- d. passing off or enabling others to sell or pass off any goods that are not Plaintiff's genuine good as being Plaintiff's genuine goods;

- e. falsely representing that Defendant or Defendant's goods are affiliated with, connected to, or sponsored by Plaintiff;
- f. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendant's goods are Plaintiff's goods;
- g. communicating in any manner with any suppliers of the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products or any other people involved in advertising, offering for sale, or selling the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products regarding the existence of this litigation, or taking any action that would have the effect of revealing to such persons that Plaintiff initiated this litigation;
- h. representing by any means whatsoever, directly or indirectly, or doing any other acts or things calculated or likely to cause confusion or mistake, or to deceive consumers into believing that Defendant's goods are the goods of Plaintiff or that there is any affiliation or connection between Plaintiff or its goods and Defendant or its goods/services, and from otherwise unfairly competing with Plaintiff;
- i. advertising, marketing, promoting, offering to sell, selling, distributing, and/or taking orders for the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products;
- j. fulfilling orders for, or shipping or distributing the Counterfeit GODZILLA Products;
- k. destroying, altering, disposing of, concealing, tampering with or in any manner secreting any and all business records, invoices, correspondence, books of account, receipts or other documentation relating or referring in any manner to the manufacture, advertising, acquisition, importation, purchase, sale or offer for sale, or distribution of any merchandise using Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks or any

marks that include or are colorable imitations of or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's GODZILLA trademarks;

- l. using or displaying the Plaintiff's GODZILLA copyrights, in any medium, whether it be print, digital or otherwise, in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Plaintiff's product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the Plaintiff's GODZILLA copyrights;
 - m. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Plaintiff's product or any other product produced by Plaintiff through the use or display of the Plaintiff's GODZILLA copyrights;
 - n. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defendant's products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
 - o. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale through the use or display of the Plaintiff's GODZILLA copyrights; and
 - p. Defendant shall not transfer or dispose of any money or other of Defendant's assets in any of Defendant's financial accounts.
2. Upon Plaintiff's request, any third party with actual notice of this Order who is providing services for Defendant, or in connection with Defendant's Internet Store, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as TikTok Temu, eBay Inc., AliExpress,

Alibaba, Amazon.com Inc., Wish, Walmart.com and Dhgate (collectively the “Third Party Providers”), shall, within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of such notice, provide to Plaintiff expedited discovery, limited to copies of documents and records in such person’s or entity’s possession or control sufficient to determine:

- a. the identity and location of Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and any persons acting in active concert or participation with them, including all known contact information and all associated e-mail addresses;
 - b. the nature of Defendant’s operations and all associated sales, methods of payment for services, and financial information, including, without limitation, identifying information associated with the Internet Stores and Defendant’s financial accounts, including Defendant’s sales and listing history related to their respective Internet Stores; and
 - c. any financial accounts owned or controlled by Defendant, including its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and any persons acting in active concert or participation with them, including such accounts residing with or under the control of any banks, savings and loan associations, payment processors or other financial institutions, including, without limitation, PayPal, Alipay, Wish, Alibaba, Ant Financial, Amazon Pay, or other merchant account providers, payment providers, third party processors, credit card associations (e.g., MasterCard and VISA), including present balances on any accounts.
3. The domain name registries for the Defendant’s Domain Name, including, but not limited to, VeriSign, Inc., Neustar, Inc., Afilias Limited, CentralNic, Nominet, and the Public Interest Registry, and the domain name registrars, including, but not limited to, GoDaddy

Operating Company LLC, Name.com, PDR LTD. d/b/a PublicDomainRegistry.com, Cloudflare Inc, Oracle Corp., Amazon Inc., Alibaba Group d/b/a Alibaba Cloud.com, Namesilo, LLC d/b/a privacuguardian.org, and Namecheap Inc., within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of this Order or prior to the expiration of this Order, whichever date shall occur first, shall disable the Defendant's Domain Name and make it inactive and untransferable until further order by this Court.

4. Upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of this Order, including the Third-Party Providers as defined in Paragraph 2, shall within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of such notice, disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendant in connection with the sale of infringing goods using the Plaintiff's trademarks and copyrights.

5. Any Third-Party Providers, including PayPal, Alipay, Alibaba, Ant Financial, Wish, Afterpay, Iarna, and Amazon Pay, shall, within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of this Order:

- a. locate all accounts and funds connected to Defendant's seller aliases, including, but not limited to, any financial accounts connected to the information listed in Schedule A hereto, and any e-mail addresses provided for Defendant by third parties; and
 - b. restrain and enjoin any such accounts or funds from transferring or disposing of any money or other of Defendant's assets until further order by this Court.
6. All previous Sealed Plaintiff's Pleading(s) and documents in this case are unsealed.
7. The Defendant that is subject to this Order may appear and move to dissolve or modify the Order as permitted by and in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and

the Southern District of Florida Local Rules. Any third party impacted by this Order may move for appropriate relief.

8.

This Preliminary Injunction Order is entered at _____ on this _____ th day of August, 2025.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, this _____ th day of August, 2025.

HONORABLE RAAG SINGHAL
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SCHEDULE A

DEFENDANT NUMBER	DEFENDANT NAME	DEFENDANT'S INTERNET STORES
1	menweary	https://www.menweary.com