

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 25-CV-22117-RAR

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY
CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON
SCHEDULE “A,”

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S SECOND *EX PARTE*
MOTION TO EXTEND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court upon Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co., Limited’s Second *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Temporary Restraining Order (“Motion”), [ECF No. 24]. The Court has carefully reviewed the Motion and is otherwise fully advised.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(2), a temporary restraining order (“TRO”) “expires at the time after entry – not to exceed 14 days – that the court sets, unless before that time the court, for good cause, extends it for a like period or the adverse party consents to a longer extension.” Plaintiff seeks an extension of time to obtain responses from third-party platform Amazon regarding its expedited discovery requests in the form of Defendants’ email addresses— which will ensure that electronic service is properly effectuated upon Defendants, thereby giving them notice of this lawsuit. Mot. at 1–2.

Thus, after careful consideration, the Court finds good cause to extend the TRO currently set to expire on June 25, 2025, *see* [ECF No. 20]. Plaintiff is warned that further extensions of the TRO will not be granted absent extenuating circumstances. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co., Limited's Second *Ex Parte* Motion to Extend Temporary Restraining Order, [ECF No. 24], is **GRANTED**. The TRO in this case, *see* [ECF No. 18], is hereby extended and shall remain in effect until **August 8, 2025**. The remaining provisions of the TRO remain unchanged.

DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this 25th day of July, 2025.



RODOLFO A. RUIZ II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE