

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No.: 1:25-cv-22093-MD

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY
CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE “A” HERETO,

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF’S RENEWED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT
JUDGMENT AGAINST CERTAIN DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co. Limited (“Plaintiff”), hereby moves for this Entry of Default Judgment (the “Motion”) pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55 (“Rule 55”) against Defendant Nos. 2 QINHONGCHUAN, 3 CanBBeauty, 8 jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery), 11 Ladily, 13 HCY-Store, 14 SolaraMind Store, 15 LuckyEve, 16 Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-, 17 Loyalt, 18 QJBMEI Store, 19 Kingspinner, 20 Wqioos, 21 LINBIYU, 22 JUMISEE, 23 Surprisestorm, 24 Jininghouhaishuilijianzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijianzhugongchengyoxiangongsi, 25 LAMISION, 26 Waasmia, and 28 AONTUS Fashion Store, identified in Schedule “A” of the Complaint [Dkt. No. 1-2, 17-2], (the “Defaulting Defendants”). Plaintiff’s Motion is made and based upon this Memorandum of Law, the Declaration of William Brees in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Default Judgment filed herewith (the “Brees Decl.”), the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and any argument of counsel the Court may entertain.

I. INTRODUCTION

On May 6, 2025, Plaintiff filed its Complaint and Jury Demand (the “Complaint”) [Dkt. No. 1] in this action alleging, Copyright Infringement (Count I), and Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Count II). On November 4, 2025, the Court authorized electronic service via email on the Schedule “A” Defendants in connection with the Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) [Dkt. No. 22]. On November 25, 2025, Defendants were served with their respective Summons and copies of the Complaint and Temporary Restraining Order via electronic mail (“e-mail”) to the email addresses provided by the online marketplace Amazon.com. [Dkt. No. 26]. The deadline to respond to the Complaint was December 16, 2025. (Brees Decl. ¶ 4).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(1)(A), the Defaulting Defendants had twenty-one (21) days to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint in this action. As of the filing of this Motion, more than twenty-one (21) days have expired since electronic service was effectuated on the Schedule “A” Defendants, which includes the Defaulting Defendants that are the subject of Plaintiff’s Motion. (Brees Decl. ¶ 3, 4, 8, and 9.) To date, the Defaulting Defendants have not answered or otherwise responded to Plaintiff’s Complaint. (Brees Decl. ¶ 6).

Pursuant to Rule 55(b)(2), Plaintiff now respectfully moves this Court for entry of a default judgment finding the Defaulting Defendants liable on all counts asserted in the Complaint. These asserted counts include claims for Copyright Infringement (Count I), and Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Count II).

In connection with its asserted claims for relief, Plaintiff seeks an award of statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), against the Defaulting Defendant, which should be enhanced by 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2), for its willful infringement of Plaintiff’s federally registered

copyrights. Plaintiff additionally requests the Court issue a permanent injunction against the Defaulting Defendants. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 502(a). Furthermore, Plaintiff requests an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Jurisdiction and Venue Are Proper in This Court

This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b). This action also alleges violations under Florida common law. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction of those claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since each of the Defendants directly targets business activities toward consumers in Florida and causes harm to Plaintiff's business within this judicial district. [Dkt. No. 1 at 12].

In addition to the foregoing, the Court has determined that it can properly exercise specific personal jurisdiction over the Schedule "A" Defendants, which includes the Defaulting Defendants, in issuing the Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") on November 4, 2025. [Dkt. No. 21]. Moreover, the Court additionally issued a Preliminary Injunction on December 3, 2025, further solidifying this determination. [Dkt. No. 31.] Accordingly, it is unquestionable that the Defaulting Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this action.

B. Plaintiff Has Met the Requirements for Entry of Default Under Rule 55(a)

Pursuant to Rule 55(a), "when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default." Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Upon entry of default by the clerk, the well-pled factual allegations of a plaintiff's complaint, other than those related to damages, will be taken as true. *PetMed Express, Inc. v. Medpets.com*, 336 F. Supp. 2d 1213, 1217 (S.D. Fla.

2004) (citing *Buchanan v. Bowman*, 820 F.2d 359 (11th Cir. 1987)). Plaintiff's complaint, pleadings, and declarations filed in this case clearly demonstrate that default judgment pursuant to Rule 55 should be entered against the Defaulting Defendants.

Where there are multiple defendants, "plaintiff must state in a motion for default judgement that there are no allegations of joint and several liability and set forth the basis why there is no possibility of inconsistent liability." *Adidas AG v. Adidasjeremycottitalia.eu*, No. 13-cv-62712, 2014 WL 1122017, at *2 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 14, 2014) (entering default judgement against all defendants even where plaintiff alleged joint and several liability, because all defendants defaulted). Here, Plaintiff makes no allegations of joint and several liability against the Defaulting Defendants. The Complaint alleges, and the evidence establishes, that the Defaulting Defendants published unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's Copyright protected works ("the Rotita Copyrights") in conjunction with the sale of competing products. As explained more fully below, Plaintiff seeks statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendants for willful infringement. As a result, there is no risk of inconsistent liability.

C. The Factual Allegations Establish Liability

"To establish infringement, two elements must be proven: (1) ownership of a valid copyright, and (2) copying of constituent elements of the work that are original." *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).

Each Defaulting Defendant has directly copied one or more photograph(s) protected by the Rotita Copyrights, or, each Defaulting Defendant's infringing photographs are strikingly similar, or at the very least substantially identical, to the images protected by the Rotita Copyrights and constitute unauthorized copying, reproduction, and distribution, creation of a derivative work, and/or public display of Plaintiff's copyrights for the Rotita Products. Defaulting Defendants have

made unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's works. Specifically, each Defaulting Defendant also deceives unknowing consumers by using the Rotita Copyrights without authorization in offering products for sale by referencing and/or embodying those copyrights on the Online Stores.

The well-pled factual allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint properly allege the elements for each claim. Moreover, the factual allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint, substantiated by the evidence submitted, conclusively establish the Defaulting Defendants' liability under each claim asserted in the Complaint. Default Judgment pursuant to Rule 55 of the Fed. R. Civ. P. should be entered against the Defaulting Defendants.

D. Plaintiff is Entitled to Entry of the Requested Default Judgment

Rule 55(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure generally provides for entry of a court-ordered default judgment against one or more defending parties that fail to appear, answer, and/or defend allegations asserted against them. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2). A defaulting defendant is deemed to have admitted to a plaintiff's well-pled allegations of fact. *Tyco Fire & Sec. LLC v. Alcocer*, 218 F. App'x 860, 863 (11th Cir. 2007); *U.S. v. Kahn*, 164 Fed. Appx. 855, 858 (11th Cir. 2006) (district court may enter default judgment when the complaint contained sufficient well-pleaded allegations to state a claim for injunctive relief).

More than twenty-one (21) days have passed since the Defaulting Defendants were served, and no answer or other responsive pleading has been filed by any of the Defaulting Defendants. Thus, default judgment is appropriate, and Plaintiff is entitled to entry of a default judgment pursuant to Rule 55(b)(2) against the Defaulting Defendants for copyright infringement as asserted in the Complaint. [Dkt. No. 1.]

Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to the following remedies through the issuance of a default judgment against the Defaulting Defendants: (1) an award of statutory damages resulting from its

acts of infringement in order to redress Defendants' unjust enrichment and to deter its infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2); (2) entry of a permanent injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502; and (3) an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 505.

1. *A Permanent Injunction Against the Defaulting Defendants is Appropriate*

Permanent injunctive relief is in the public interest because each of the Defaulting Defendants are engaged in illegal activities and are directly defrauding the consuming public by palming off Defaulting Defendant's competing Infringing Products as genuine Rotita Products through the publication of the Infringing Photographs. The public interest favors maintaining the integrity of the copyright laws. *See C.B. Fleet Co.* 510 F. Supp. at 1084 ("The public interest can only be served by upholding copyright protection and preventing the misappropriation of protected works."); *Salinger*, 607 F.3d at 82; *see also CBS Broad., Inc. v. EchoStar Comm'ns. Corp.*, 265 F.3d 1193, 1198 (11th Cir. 2001) (the public interest lies with protecting the rights of copyright owners); *Nailtiques Cosmetic Corp. v. Salon Sciences, Corp.*, 1997 WL 244746, 5, 41 (S.D. Fla. 1997) ("The interests of the public in not being victimized and misled are important considerations in determining the propriety of granting injunctive relief.")

Plaintiff has shown that it lacks an adequate remedy at law and would suffer irreparable harm if each Defaulting Defendant's continuing conduct is not permanently restrained. Additionally, Plaintiff has established that the balance of the hardships favors issuing the requested injunction. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion and enter a permanent restraining order against the Defaulting Defendants.

Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if Defaulting Defendants' infringing activities are not permanently enjoined. (Dkt. No. 11-3). A copyright holder possesses "the right to exclude others

from using his property.” *eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.*, 547 U.S. 388, 392 (2006) (citing *Fox Film Corp. v. Doyal*, 286 U.S. 123 (1932)). Defendants’ infringing conduct deprives Plaintiff of control over his exclusive copyright rights, causing irreparable harm. *Salinger v. Colting*, 607 F.3d 68, 82 (2d Cir. 2010) (holding violation of copyright owner’s “right to exclude” renders monetary remedies inadequate in a wide range of circumstances) (quoting *eBay, Inc.* at 395). These harms are notoriously difficult to quantify and are considered irreparable. *Id.* at 81 (loss of sales due to infringement is “notoriously difficult” to prove). Further, Plaintiff’s Complaint alleges that Defendants’ unlawful actions have caused Plaintiff irreparable injury and will continue to do so if Defendants are not permanently enjoined. (Dkt. No. 1 ¶¶ 40-44.) By failing to answer the Complaint, the Defaulting Defendants have defaulted upon Plaintiff’s factual allegations in that respect.

The Defaulting Defendants have admitted by their default that their e-commerce store names, the associated payment accounts, and the seller aliases or identification names, are used in connection with the sale of infringing goods by using of Plaintiff’s copyrights in the description of the goods are essential components of the Defaulting Defendants’ online activities and are one of the means by which the Defaulting Defendants further their counterfeiting and infringement schemes and cause harm to Plaintiff. Therefore, in order to effectuate the injunction as a practical matter pursuant to the Court’s inherent authority and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), all listings using Plaintiff’s copyrights via the e-commerce store names, and any other e-commerce store names being used and/or controlled by the Defaulting Defendants to promote, offer for sale, and/or sell goods bearing and/or using a infringements of Plaintiff’s copyright, should be permanently removed by Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators.

Without removing the listings that use Plaintiff's copyrights, each of the Defaulting Defendants will remain free to continue infringing Plaintiff's copyrights with impunity, will continue to benefit from the Internet traffic to its e-commerce store built through the unlawful use of the Rotita Copyrights, and will continue to defraud the public by its illegal activities. The Court's powers of equity can compel measures necessary to enforce an injunction against infringement. See, e.g., *Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Educ.*, 402 U.S. 1, 15 (1971) ("Once a right and a violation have been shown, the scope of a district court's equitable powers to remedy past wrongs is broad, for. . . the essence of equity jurisdiction has been the power of the Chancellor to do equity and to mold each decree to the necessities of the particular case."); *United States v. Bausch & Lomb Optical Co.*, 321 U.S. 707, 724 (1944) ("Equity has power to eradicate the evils of a condemned scheme by prohibition of the use of admittedly valid parts of an invalid whole.").

The Defaulting Defendants have participated in an Internet-based infringement scheme and is profiting from the deliberate misappropriation of Plaintiff's rights. Unless the infringing online platform listings are permanently removed, the Defaulting Defendants will be free to continue to defraud the public with its illegal activities. Accordingly, the Court should eliminate the means by which the Defaulting Defendants conduct their unlawful activities to further prevent the use of these instrumentalities of infringement.

2. Damages for Copyright Infringement

In a case involving the use of infringing marks in connection with a sale, offering for sale, or distribution of goods, 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), provides that a plaintiff may elect an award of statutory damages which may be enhanced by 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) if the Court finds that the Defaulting Defendants' infringing actions were willful. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 504, Plaintiff

elects to recover an award of statutory damages as to its claim for Copyright Infringement alleged in the Complaint.

This Court has wide discretion to set an amount of statutory damages. *PetMed Express, Inc.*, 336 F. Supp. 2d at 1219 (citing *Cable/Home Commc'n Corp. v. Network Prod., Inc.*, 902 F.2d 829, 852 (11th Cir. 1990)). An award of statutory damages is an appropriate remedy, despite a plaintiff's inability to provide actual damages caused by a defendant's infringement. *Ford Motor Co. v. Cross*, 441 F. Supp. 2d 837, 852 (E.D. Mich. 2006). A defendant's intent can be of probative value for establishing willfulness, triggering an enhanced statutory award. *PetMed Express, Inc.*, 336 F. Supp. 2d at 1220. A defendant is deemed to have acted willfully where "the infringer acted with actual knowledge or reckless disregard" to a plaintiff's intellectual property rights. See *Arista Records, Inc. v. Beker Enter., Inc.*, 298 F. Supp. 2d 1310, 1312 (S.D. Fla. 2003). Willfulness may also be inferred from the defendant's default. See *PetMed Express, Inc.*, 336 F. Supp. 2d at 1217 (upon default, well plead allegations taken as true). In either case, a defendant is deemed to have the requisite knowledge that its acts constitute infringement.

The evidence submitted in this case clearly establishes the Defaulting Defendants intentionally copied the Rotita Copyrights to for use in selling competing articles of clothing to those shown in the photographs protected by the Rotita Copyrights. By failing to respond to the Complaint the Defaulting Defendant defaulted on Plaintiff's allegations of willfulness. See *Arista Records, Inc.*, 298 F. Supp. 2d at 1313 (finding a Court may infer willfulness from the defendants' default). This Court should award a significant amount of statutory damages under the Copyright Act to ensure the Defaulting Defendants do not continue their intentional and willful counterfeiting activities.

Additionally, each Defendant has tarnished the brand recognition of Plaintiff's Rotita Brand in the State of Florida and violated the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act by using an online store displaying Plaintiff's Protected Images. Thus, they have committed a tort within the State of Florida, subjecting them to jurisdiction in the State of Florida under Florida Statute §48.193(1)(a)(2).

Based these considerations, Plaintiff requests the Court award statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendants. The evidence in this case demonstrates that the Defaulting Defendants promoted, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold goods using Plaintiff's copyrights. Based on the above, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court award statutory damages in the amount of \$100,000.00 against each of the Defaulting Defendants for which Plaintiff has alleged a copyright claim in respect to the Rotita Copyrights.

Plaintiff is not seeking monetary damages under Count 2 of the Complaint for Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests entry of default judgment against each of the Defaulting Defendants pursuant to Rule 55. In granting its request, Plaintiff asks the Court to award the following: (1) an award of the Defaulting Defendant's profits resulting from its acts of infringement in order to redress Defendants' unjust enrichment and to deter its infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, 17 U.S.C. § 504(b); (2) enhanced damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) because of Defendants' willful copyright infringement.; (3) entry of a permanent injunction pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502; and (4) an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

DATED: April 16, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ William R. Brees

William R. Brees (FL Bar No. 98886)

william@bayramoglu-legal.com

BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

1540 West Warm Springs, Suite 100

Henderson, NV 89014

Telephone: (702) 462-5973

Fax: (702) 553-3404

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 16th day of April 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing using the electronic case filing system. Notice of this filing is provided to unrepresented parties for whom contact information is listed below and provided via email and by posting the filing on a URL contained on our website <http://blointernetenforcement.com>, and a link to said website in the email provided by third-party, Amazon.

By: /s/ William R. Brees

WILLIAM R. BREES (FL BAR NO. 98886)

BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
2	QINHONGCHUAN	yanghaiyan147258@163.com
3	CanBBeauty	240144394@qq.com
8	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery)	jini1nic757@163.com
11	Ladily	shawnjenkins2023@hotmail.com
13	HCY-Store	15981984177@163.com
14	SolaraMind Store	jiedeng202406@163.com
15	LuckyEve	jxxjfz1888@sina.com
16	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-	fengyizhigua@126.com
17	Loyalt	zhongsheng512@outlook.com
18	QJBMEI Store	cuilinggame369@hotmail.com
19	Kingspinner	jddfam@163.com

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
20	Wqioos	ilicdq@163.com
21	LINBIYU	linbiyu2023@163.com
22	JUMISEE	babytoy2190@163.com
23	Surprisestorm	fastdeliversale@gmail.com
24	Jininghouhaishuilijianz hugon aka jininghouhaishuilijianz hugongchengyouxiang ongsi	jiangluxindhmr@163.com
25	LAMISION	lamisionoutfits@outlook.com
26	Waasmia	nomanwou@aliyun.com
28	AONTUS Fashion Store	amazon_zhenxuan@163.com

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No.: 1:25-cv-22093-MD

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY
CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE "A" HERETO,

Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM R. BRES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S
RENEWED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

I, William R. Brees, of St. Petersburg, Florida, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before this Court, and I am counsel for Plaintiff, Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co. Limited ("Plaintiff") in the above-captioned matter. I make this Declaration, which is filed in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Default Judgment, and I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.

2. On May 6, 2025, Plaintiff filed its Complaint and Jury Demand (the "Complaint") [Dkt. No. 1] against Defendants, the Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified on Schedule "A" [Dkt. No. 1-2; 17-2] (collectively, "Defendants").

3. On November 25, 2025, Defendants were served with the Summons and copies of the Complaint and Temporary Restraining Order [Dkt. No. 26] via electronic mail ("e-mail") and

via website posting pursuant to the Court's Order authorizing alternate service of process. (See Dkt. No. 26 Return of Service on file with the Court.)

4. The deadline to respond to the Complaint was December 16, 2025.

5. Defendant Nos. 1 Prime Day Deals 2024 Warehouse Clearance, 4 SSUYEURI aka ★★★★★SSUYEURI (Black Friday Deals Up 70% OFF) , 5 SMIDOW Fashion Online, 6 Farmee--US (✈7-14 days delivery) , 7 RioJy, 9 YAFINMO, 10 YRAETENM, 12 lightning deals of today-LR doidles, and 27 NSSTAR have been Dismissed from this case. [Dkt. Nos. 34, 38, and 42].

6. Defendant Nos. 2-3, 8, 11, 13-26, and 28 (the "Defaulting Defendants") have failed to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint or serve a copy of the Answer or other response upon Plaintiff's attorneys of record.

7. The Defaulting Defendant's information is as follows:

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
2	QINHONGCHUAN	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AKV9FVC25USDG&asin=B09YQ8ZC77&ref_=dp_merchant_link
3	CanBBeauty	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1VE7T7WG4XP8W&asin=B0D1HDJGLR&ref_=dp_merchant_link
8	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery)	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A16C3NVAF05HA0&asin=B0CWQP8BLQ&ref_=dp_merchant_link
11	Ladily	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AGWQBX0O5XAJZ&asin=B0CYC5L65M&ref_=dp_merchant_link
13	HCY-Store	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3FD939CIOWQTI&asin=B0CY523ZP4&ref_=dp_merchant_link
14	SolaraMind Store	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A2IWH AQQTZNQU&asin=B0DQPFK86X&ref_=dp_merchant_link

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
15	LuckyEve	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A184ZMUZ4YKM6Y&asin=B0D6Y6L7QS&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
16	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1GL8UED9ZAU5Y&asin=B0DVR5WYMC&ref_=dp_merchant_link
17	Loyalt	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A5YMV293A174&asin=B0CCRJ8HWQ&ref_=dp_merchant_link
18	QJBMEI Store	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A2CJNR6NW0ZC5C&asin=B08D6135MN&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
19	Kingspinner	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3KXYZ0M5Q5YW4&asin=B0BXPVP8CK&ref_=dp_merchant_link
20	Wqioos	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A9SSI0AAW9R5D&asin=B0D6YZS5SF&ref_=dp_merchant_link
21	LINBIYU	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3OIVVZN52HZDD&asin=B0D2J5B3DV&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
22	JUMISEE	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1SLP47D5DJWK&asin=B08V4XDHRB&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
23	Surprisestorm	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A10A0AHSGFU5XL&asin=B07MXVCH7N&ref_=dp_merchant_link
24	Jininghouhaishuilijianz hugon aka jininghouhaishuilijianz hugongchengyouxiang ongsi	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A85G20IZMEH7G&asin=B09FJV5S35&ref_=dp_merchant_link
25	LAMISION	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A386BLU2GA7ULQ&asin=B0CYP3L21Q&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
26	Waasmia	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AM7AQBFPNFTSJ&asin=B0D6FRZCJW&ref_=dp_merchant_link

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
28	AONTUS Fashion Store	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A264ZUL3F93JOW&asin=B09SHDYS3M&ref_=dp_merchant_link

8. As of the filing of this Motion, more than twenty-one (21) days have expired since electronic service was effectuated on the Schedule "A" Defendants.

9. The Defaulting Defendants have not been granted an extension of time to respond to the Complaint.

10. Clerk's Entry of Default was entered against the Defaulting Defendants on December 29, 2025. [Dkt. No. 37].

11. Service members Civil Relief Act, 50 U.S.C. app. § 521(b):

- a. I am unable to determine whether the Defaulting Defendants are in military service because the Defaulting Defendants' true identity is unknown.
- b. Defaulting Defendants are believed to be persons that are citizens of the People's Republic of China or companies that are organized under the laws of the People's Republic of China.
- c. The Defaulting Defendants' store information page on Amazon.com lists the following fictitious addresses as Defaulting Defendants' addresses:

NO.	BUSINESS NAME / TRANSLATED ADDRESS	SELLER NAME / VERIFICATION NOTES/ DEFENDANT'S DOMICILE
2	Business Name: Huguanxianrongdashangmaoyouxianzerengongsi Business Address: Longquan Town Garage 15, Building 2, Yee King Court Changzhi City, Huguan County Shanxi Province 047300, CN	QINHONGCHUAN <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>

3	Business Name: Shenzhenshilianmikejiyouxiangongsi Business Address: No. 87, Shangbao East Road, Jinghua Community, Lianhua Street, Futian District, Shenzhen Zhenye Jingzhou Building B 8H Shenzhen Guangdong 518000, CN	CanBBeauty <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
8	Business Name: Guangzhoushijingningjudianzishangwuyouxiangongsi Business Address: No. 613, Guangzhou Avenue North Road, Tianhe District Room 501, 5th Floor, C27 Guangzhou City Guangdong Province 510599, CN	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery) <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
11	Business Name: guangzhouheluhanfushiyouxiangongsi Business Address: Gushu Hongwan Business Center Block C, 315 Shenzhen Guangdong, 518000, CN	Ladily <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
13	Business Name: HeNanZhongShouWenHuaChuanMeiYouXianGongSi Business Address: Guoyuan South Road, Guancheng Hui District 1705, 17th Floor, Unit 2, Building 3, Liuzhuang Street East Zhengzhou City Henan, 450000, CN	HCY-Store <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
14	Business Name: Henanjiedengjianzhugongchengyouxiangongsi Business Address: Zhengdong New District, Mingli Road, West Lake Xinwu Road South No. 819, 8th Floor, Building 1, Muhua Plaza Zhengzhou City Henan, 450000, CN	SolaraMind Store <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
15	Business Name: Li Xichao Business Address: Chengnan East Road (Jiangxi Far East Textile Co., Ltd. Building 1) Yichun City Tonggu County Jiangxi Province, 336200, CN	LuckyEve <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>

16	Business Name: HuiZhouShiShengJiWangLuoKeJiYouXianGongSi Business Address: Daya Bay West District Room 1906, Building 7, Shifu Homestead, No. 288 Shihua Avenue West (office only). Huizhou City Guangdong, 516000, CN	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off- <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
17	Business Name: SHENZHENSHI ZHONGSHENGLIKEJI YOUXIANGONGSI Business Address: Longhua Street, Longhua District 404, Building 9, Lane 11, Shuidou Fuhao New Village Shenzhen Guangdong Province, 518131, CN	Loyal <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
18	Business Name: ZhengZhouBingHuQiuYueShangMaoYouXianGo ngSi Business Address: No. 1211, 12th Floor, Unit 1, Building 1, No. 87, Jinshui East Road Zhengzhou City Zhengdong, Zhengzhou Area, Henan Pilot Free Trade Zone Henan Province 450000, CN	QJBMEI Store <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
19	Business Name: Songyinzhen Business Address: Room 207, 17 Cuihu Street (office only). Guangzhou City Tianhe District Guangdong Province 510630, CN	Kingspinner <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
20	Business Name: ShenZhenShiweijuchangmaoyi you xiangongsi Business Address: 401, Building 3, No. 41, Dalang North Road, Xinshi Community Shenzhen Dalang Street, Longhua District Guangdong Province, 518109, CN	Wqioos <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>

21	Business Name: putianshimudianmaoyiyouxiangongsi Business Address: Room 1403, 2nd Ladder, Building 4, Yupin Shijia, No. 168 Houtang Road, Zhenhai Street Putian City Licheng District Fujian Province, 351100, CN	LINBIYU <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
22	Business Name: CAI YANGUI Business Address: No. 1, Yongguang East Road, Zhongkai High-tech Zone The 6th floor of the No. 6 factory building of the Jiangjia Zhigu Industrial Park Huizhou Guangdong, 516000, CN	JUMISEE <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
23	Business Name: kun Li Business Address: 038 Longzhu Avenue, Building 2, Tiandi Fengjing Garden, 9A Shenzhen Nanshan District Guangdong Province, 518000, CN	Surprisestorm <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
24	Business Name: Zhoubaoan Business Address: binhujiedaohulingerluzhongduanludong, meishiyitiaojiedongduanlubei(zizhushenbao) yutaixian jining, 272300, CN	Jininghouhaishuilijianzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijianzhugongchengyou xiangongsi <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
25	Business Name: Guangzhou Lushi Business Address: Room A106, Room 101-112, No. 253, Qiaoxing Avenue, Shiqiao Street Guangzhou City Panyu District Guangdong Province, 511491, CN	LAMISION <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
26	Business Name: WuhanshiBenquanshangmaoyouxiangongsi Business Address: Room 1, 2nd Floor, Unit 1, Building 3, No. 409, Xisi Avenue, Qianchuan Street Wuhan Huangpi District Hubei Province, 430300, CN	Waasmia <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>

28	Business Name: Hangzhou Selection Trading Co., Ltd. Business Address: No. 755, Xintangtou Village, Xinjie Street Hangzhou Xiaoshan Chekiang, 310000, CN	AONTUS Fashion Store <u>Defendant's Domicile: China</u>
----	---	--

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 16, 2026, in St. Petersburg, Florida.

Respectfully Submitted

By: /s/ William R. Brees

WILLIAM R. BREES (FL BAR NO. 98886)

SCHEDULE A

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
2	QINHONGCHUAN	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AKV9FVC25USDG&asin=B09YQ8ZC77&ref_=dp_merchant_link
3	CanBBeauty	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1VE7T7WG4XP8W&asin=B0D1HDJGLR&ref_=dp_merchant_link
8	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery)	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A16C3NVAF05HA0&asin=B0CWQP8BLQ&ref_=dp_merchant_link
11	Ladily	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AGWQBX005XAJZ&asin=B0CYC5L65M&ref_=dp_merchant_link
13	HCY-Store	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3FD939C1OWQTI&asin=B0CY523ZP4&ref_=dp_merchant_link
14	SolaraMind Store	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A2IWHAAQTTZNQU&asin=B0DQPFK86X&ref_=dp_merchant_link
15	LuckyEve	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A184ZMUZ4YKM6Y&asin=B0D6Y6L7QS&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
16	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1GL8UED9ZAU5&asin=B0DVR5WYMC&ref_=dp_merchant_link
17	Loyalt	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A5YMV293A174&asin=B0CCRJ8HWQ&ref_=dp_merchant_link
18	QJBMEI Store	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A2CJNR6NW0ZC5C&asin=B08D6135MN&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
19	Kingspinner	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3KXYZ0M5Q5YW4&asin=B0BXPVP8CK&ref_=dp_merchant_link
20	Wqioos	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A9SSI0AAW9R5D&asin=B0D6YZS5SF&ref_=dp_merchant_link
21	LINBIYU	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A3OIVVZN52HZDD&asin=B0D2J5B3DV&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
22	JUMISEE	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A1SLP47D5DJWK&asin=B08V4XDHRB&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
23	Surprisestorm	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A10A0AHSGFU5XL&asin=B07MXVCH7N&ref_=dp_merchant_link
24	Jininghouhaishuilijian nzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijian zhugongchengyouxia ngongsi	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A85G20IZMEH7G&asin=B09FJV5S35&ref_=dp_merchant_link
25	LAMISION	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=A386BLU2GA7ULQ&asin=B0CYP3L21Q&ref_=dp_merchant_link&isAmazonFulfilled=1
26	Waasmia	https://www.amazon.com/sp?ie=UTF8&seller=AM7AQBFNFTSJ&asin=B0D6FRZCJW&ref_=dp_merchant_link
28	AONTUS Fashion Store	https://www.amazon.com/gp/help/seller/at-a-glance.html/ref=dp_merchant_link?ie=UTF8&seller=A264ZUL3F93JOW&asin=B09SHDYS3M&ref_=dp_merchant_link

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No.: 1:25-cv-22093-MD

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN
TECHNOLOGY CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED IN SCHEDULE "A"
HERETO,

Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RENEWED MOTION FOR
FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST CERTAIN DEFENDANTS**

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Entry of Final Default Judgment (the "Default Judgment Motion") (ECF No. 45). For the reasons discussed below, the Court grants Plaintiff's Default Judgment Motion.

"Rule 55 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure establishes a two-step process for obtaining a default judgment. First, when a defendant fails to plead or otherwise defend the lawsuit, the Clerk of Court must enter a clerk's default against the defendant. Second, when the requirements for a clerk-entered default judgment cannot be met under Rule 55(b)(1), the plaintiff must apply to the court for a default judgment under Rule 55(b)(2)." *Cleveland v. JH Portfolio Debt Equities, LLC*, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 220450, 2020 WL 8167356, at *2 (S.D. Ala. Nov. 23, 2020), report and recommendation adopted, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6450, 2021 WL 136287 (S.D. Ala. Jan. 13, 2021).

"Entry of default judgment is only warranted when there is a sufficient basis in

the pleadings for the judgment entered, with the standard for a sufficient basis for the judgment being akin to that necessary to survive a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim.” *Singleton v. Dean*, 611 F. App’x 671, 671 (11th Cir. 2015) (quoting *Surtain v. Hamlin Terrace Found.*, 789 F.3d 1239, 1245 (11th Cir. 2015) (cleaned up)). Conceptually, then, a motion for default judgment is like a reverse motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. *Surtain*, 789 F.3d at 1245. Thus, before entering a default judgment for damages, a court must ensure that the well-pled allegations in the complaint (which are taken as true due to the default) state a substantive cause of action and provide a sufficient basis for the particular relief sought. *Tyco Fire & Sec., LLC v. Alcocer*, 218 F. App’x 860, 863 (11th Cir. 2007). If a plaintiff fails to meet this pleading standard, then the plaintiff will not be entitled to default judgment.

Once a plaintiff has established a sufficient basis for liability, the Court must conduct an inquiry to determine the appropriate damages. *PetMed Express, Inc. v. MedPets.Com, Inc.*, 336 F. Supp. 2d 1213, 1217 (S.D. Fla. 2004) (citation omitted). Although an evidentiary hearing is generally required, the Court need not conduct such a hearing “when . . . additional evidence would be truly unnecessary to a fully informed determination of damages.” *Safari Programs, Inc. v. CollectA Int’l Ltd.*, 686 F. App’x 737, 746 (11th Cir. 2017). Therefore, where the record adequately supports the award of damages, an evidentiary hearing is not required. *See SEC v. Smyth*, 420 F.3d 1225, 1232 n.13 (11th Cir. 2005); *see also National Loan Acquisitions Co. v. Pet Friendly, Inc.*, 743 F. App’x 390, 393 (11th Cir. 2018) (holding an evidentiary hearing unnecessary in breach of contract action where there was evidence in the record as to lender’s damages); *see also Vanderbilt v. Boat Bottom Express Ltd. Liab. Co.*, No. 4:18-CV-10261-JLK, 2019 WL 13255739, at *1 (S.D. Fla. June 18, 2019) (“[I]f the party requesting default judgment does not submit sufficient

evidence to support its request for damages, the Court may conduct a hearing on damages.” (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b))).

On May 6, 2025, Plaintiff filed its Complaint and Jury Demand (the “Complaint”) [ECF No. 1] in this action alleging, Copyright Infringement (Count I), and Violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Count II). On November 4, 2025, the Court authorized electronic service via email on the Schedule “A” Defendants in connection with the Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) [ECF No. 22]. On November 25, 2025, Defendants were served with their respective Summons and copies of the Complaint and Temporary Restraining Order via electronic mail (“e-mail”) to the email addresses provided by the online marketplace Amazon.com.

On December 29, 2025, the Clerk entered default against, among others, Defendant Nos. 2-3, 8, 11, 13-26, and 28 (“Clerk’s Entry of Default”) (ECF No. 37) (Defendant Nos. 2-3, 8, 11, 13-26, and 28 are collectively referred to as the “Defaulting Defendants”) for failure to respond to the Complaint or otherwise appear in this action. On April 16, 2026, Plaintiff filed the Renewed Default Judgment Motion (ECF No. 45). Defaulting Defendants subsequently failed to move to set aside the Clerk’s Entry of Defaults or otherwise respond to the Renewed Default Judgment Motion.

To establish Copyright infringement under Count 1 of the Complaint, Plaintiff must prove two elements: (1) ownership of a valid copyright, and (2) copying of constituent elements of the work that are original.” *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991). Each Defaulting Defendant has directly copied one or more photograph(s) protected by the Federal Copyright Registrations asserted by Plaintiff against the Defendants (the “Rotita Copyrights”) or each Defaulting Defendant’s infringing photographs are strikingly similar, or at the very least substantially identical, to the images protected by the Rotita Copyrights and constitute unauthorized copying,

reproduction, and distribution, creation of a derivative work, and/or public display of Plaintiff's copyrights for the Rotita Products. ECF No. 1. Defaulting Defendants have made unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's works. Specifically, each Defaulting Defendant also deceives unknowing consumers by using the Rotita Copyrights without authorization in offering products for sale by referencing and/or embodying those copyrights on the Online Stores.

Additionally, each Defendant has tarnished the brand recognition of Plaintiff's Rotita Brand in the State of Florida and violated the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act by using an online store displaying Plaintiff's Protected Images. Thus, they have committed a tort within the State of Florida, subjecting them to jurisdiction in the State of Florida under Florida Statute §48.193(1)(a)(2).

The well-pleaded allegations of the Complaint are admitted by virtue of the Defaulting Defendants' default. Moreover, the factual allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint, substantiated by the evidence submitted, conclusively establish the Defaulting Defendants' liability under each claim asserted in the Complaint. The Court finds that Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) adequately states a claim for federal copyright infringement, violation of Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, 502, and 504(c), The All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a), and Fla. Stat. § 501.201. Default judgment against the Defaulting Defendants is, therefore, appropriate.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's Renewed Default Judgment Motion (ECF No. 45) is **GRANTED**. In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, judgment for Plaintiff will be entered separately.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in the Southern District of Florida, this ____
day of _____ 2026.

HONORABLE MELISSA DAMIAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
2	QINHONGCHUAN	yanghaiyan147258@163.com
3	CanBBeauty	240144394@qq.com
8	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery)	jinilnic757@163.com
11	Ladily	shawnjenkins2023@hotmail.com
13	HCY-Store	15981984177@163.com
14	SolaraMind Store	jiedeng202406@163.com
15	LuckyEve	jxxjfz1888@sina.com
16	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-	fengyizhigua@126.com
17	Loyalt	zhongsheng512@outlook.com
18	QJBMEI Store	cuilinggame369@hotmail.com
19	Kingspinner	jddfam@163.com
20	Wqioos	ilicdq@163.com
21	LINBIYU	linbiyu2023@163.com
22	JUMISEE	babytoy2190@163.com
23	Surprisestorm	fastdeliverysale@gmail.com

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
24	Jininghouhaishuilijia nzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijia nzhugongchengyoux iangongsi	jiangluxindhmr@163.com
25	LAMISION	lamisionoutfits@outlook.com
26	Waasmia	nomanwou@aliyun.com
28	AONTUS Fashion Store	amazon_zhenxuan@163.com

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No.: 1:25-cv-22093-MD

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY
CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED IN
SCHEDULE "A" HERETO,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

THIS CAUSE comes before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Final Default Judgment (the "Motion"). For the reasons set forth in the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment, [Dkt. No. 45], this Court now enters this separate final judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a), the Court hereby **ENTERS THIS SEPARATE FINAL JUDGMENT**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED and ADJUDGED** that Final Default Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff, HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY CO. LIMITED ("Plaintiff"), and against Defendant Nos., 2 QINHONGCHUAN, 3 CanBBeauty, 8 jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery), 11 Ladily, 13 HCY-Store, 14 SolaraMind Store, 15 LuckyEve, 16 Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-, 17 Loyalt, 18 QJBMEI Store, 19 Kingspinner, 20 Wqioos, 21 LINBIYU, 22 JUMISEE, 23 Surprisestorm, 24 Jininghouhaishuilijianzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijianzhugongchengyouxiangong,

25 LAMISION, 26 Waasmia, and 28 AONTUS Fashion Store , identified in Schedule “A” of the Complaint [Dkt. Nos. 1-2 and 17-2], (the “Defaulting Defendants”) as follows:

1. The Factual Allegations Establish Liability

“To establish infringement, two elements must be proven: (1) ownership of a valid copyright, and (2) copying of constituent elements of the work that are original.” *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).

Each Defaulting Defendant has directly copied one or more photograph(s) protected by the Rotita Copyrights, or, each Defaulting Defendant’s infringing photographs are strikingly similar, or at the very least substantially identical, to the images protected by the Rotita Copyrights and constitute unauthorized copying, reproduction, and distribution, creation of a derivative work, and/or public display of Plaintiff’s copyrights for the Rotita Products. Dkt. No. 1. Defaulting Defendants have made unauthorized copies of Plaintiff’s works. Specifically, each Defaulting Defendant also deceives unknowing consumers by using the Rotita Copyrights without authorization in offering products for sale by referencing and/or embodying those copyrights on the Online Stores.

The well-pled factual allegations of Plaintiff’s Complaint properly allege the elements for each claim. Moreover, the factual allegations in Plaintiff’s Complaint, substantiated by the evidence submitted, conclusively establish the Defaulting Defendants’ liability under each claim asserted in the Complaint. Default Judgment pursuant to Rule 55 of the Fed. R. Civ. P. should be entered against the Defaulting Defendants.

2. **Permanent Injunctive Relief**

The Defaulting Defendants, their officers, directors, agents, representatives, subsidiaries, distributors, servants, employees and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation therewith are hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from:

- a. Using or displaying Plaintiff's federally-registered, copyright-protected photographs ("Plaintiff's Copyrights"), in any medium, whether it be print, digital or otherwise, in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Rotita product or is not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with Plaintiff's Copyrights;
- b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Rotita products or any other product produced by Plaintiff through the use or display of Plaintiff's Copyrights;
- c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that the Defendants' products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff;
- d. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale through the use or display of Plaintiff's Copyrights; and
- e. otherwise unfairly competing with Plaintiff in connection with Plaintiff's Copyrights.

3. **Additional Equitable Relief:**

Upon the Plaintiff's request, the Internet marketplace website operators and/or administrators for the Internet based e-commerce stores operating under the seller identification names identified on Schedule "A" hereto (the "Seller IDs"), including but not limited to AliExpress, Alipay, Dhgate, Dhpay, Joom, Wish, Wishpay, Walmart, Amazon, Amazon Pay, eBay, Etsy, and/or Taobao, shall permanently remove any and all listings and associated images of goods bearing unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's Copyrights via the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller IDs, and any other listings and images of goods bearing infringements of Plaintiff's Copyrights associated with the same sellers or linked to any other alias seller identification names or e-commerce stores being used and/or controlled by the Defaulting Defendants to promote, offer for sale and/or sell goods in connection with Plaintiff's Copyrights.

4. **Statutory Damages in Favor of Plaintiff Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c):**

Award the Plaintiff damages of \$100,000.00 against each of the Defaulting Defendants, for which let execution issue, based upon the Court's finding that each Defaulting Defendant infringed on Plaintiff's Copyrights. The Court considered both the willfulness of the Defaulting Defendants' conduct and the deterrent value of the award imposed, and the awarded amount falls within the permissible statutory range under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

5. **Disposition of Retained Funds**

All funds currently restrained or held on account for the Defaulting Defendants by all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to Amazon and their related companies and affiliates are to be immediately (within five (5) business days), transferred by the previously referred to financial institution, payment processors, banks,

escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms and by the Defaulting Defendants, to the Plaintiff and/or the Plaintiff's counsel in partial satisfaction of the monetary judgment entered herein against the Defaulting Defendants. All financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to Walmart, and their related companies and affiliates, shall provide to the Plaintiff at the time the funds are released, a breakdown reflecting the (i) total funds restrained in this matter for the Defaulting Defendants; (ii) the total chargebacks, refunds, and/or transaction reversals deducted from the Defaulting Defendants' funds restrained prior to release; and (iii) the total funds released for Defaulting Defendants to Plaintiff.

6. **Interest**

Interest from the date this action was filed shall accrue at the legal rate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

7. **Bond**

The \$10,000 bond posted by Plaintiff in connection with the Temporary Restraining Order shall returned to Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Attorney.

8. **Jurisdiction**

The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce this Judgment and permanent injunction.

9. **Closure of Case**

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case and **DENY** all pending motions as **MOOT**.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in the Southern District of Florida this
 ____ day of _____, 2026.

HONORABLE MELISSA DAMIAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
2	QINHONGCHUAN	yanghaiyan147258@163.com
3	CanBBeauty	240144394@qq.com
8	jiaoquan (5-10 days Delivery)	jinilnic757@163.com
11	Ladily	shawnjenkins2023@hotmail.com
13	HCY-Store	15981984177@163.com
14	SolaraMind Store	jiedeng202406@163.com
15	LuckyEve	jxxjfz1888@sina.com
16	Holiday Deals Up to 50% off-	fengyizhigua@126.com
17	Loyalt	zhongsheng512@outlook.com
18	QJBMEI Store	cuilinggame369@hotmail.com
19	Kingspinner	jddfam@163.com
20	Wqioos	ilicdq@163.com
21	LINBIYU	linbiyu2023@163.com
22	JUMISEE	babytoy2190@163.com
23	Surprisestorm	fastdeliverysale@gmail.com

Defendant No.	Seller's Name	Link to Seller's Website
24	Jininghouhaishuilijia nzhugon aka jininghouhaishuilijia nzhugongchengyoux iangongsi	jiangluxindhmr@163.com
25	LAMISION	lamisionoutfits@outlook.com
26	Waasmia	nomanwou@aliyun.com
28	AONTUS Fashion Store	amazon_zhenxuan@163.com