

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co., LTD,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

kongnilan, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 25 C 2869

Judge Edmond E. Chang

**JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE**

Judgment is hereby entered (check appropriate box):

in favor of plaintiff(s)  
and against defendant(s)  
in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_,

which  includes pre-judgment interest.  
 does not include pre-judgment interest.

Post-judgment interest accrues on that amount at the rate provided by law from the date of this judgment.

Plaintiff(s) shall recover costs from defendant(s).

in favor of defendant(s)  
and against plaintiff(s)

Defendant(s) shall recover costs from plaintiff(s).

other: Judgment entered in favor of the Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co., LTD and against Defendant kongilan in the amount of six-thousand dollars (\$6,000). Judgment entered in favor of the Plaintiff Hong Kong Leyuzhen Technology Co., LTD and against Defendant Newspeed in the amount of two-hundred and seventy-four thousand dollars (\$274,000). Permanent injunction entered.

This action was (*check one*):

- tried by a jury with Judge \_\_\_\_\_ presiding, and the jury has rendered a verdict.
- tried by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ without a jury and the above decision was reached.
- decided by Judge Edmond E. Chang on a motion for default judgment.

Date: 5/28/2025

Thomas G. Bruton, Clerk of Court

/s/ Michael Wing, Deputy Clerk

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY  
CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

v.

kongnilan and Newspeed,

Defendants.

**Case No.: 1:25-cv-02869-EEC-LKM**

**Honorable Judge Edmond E. Chang**

**Magistrate Laura K. McNally**

**FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENT ORDER**

This action having been commenced by Plaintiff, HONG KONG LEYUZHEN TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. (“Plaintiff”) against the Defendants, kongnilan and Newspeed, and using the Temu Online Marketplace Account (collectively, the “Defendant Internet Stores”), and Plaintiff having moved for entry of Default and Default Judgment against the Defendants (the “Defaulting Defendants” or “Defendants”).

This Court having entered a preliminary injunction; Plaintiff having properly completed service of process on Defaulting Defendants, the combination of providing notice via electronic publication or e-mail, along with any notice that Defaulting Defendants received from payment processors, being notice reasonably calculated under all circumstances to apprise Defaulting Defendants of the pendency of the action and affording them the opportunity to answer and present their objections; and

The Defaulting Defendants having not answered in any way, and the time for answering having expired, so that the allegations of the Complaint are uncontroverted and are deemed admitted;

THIS COURT HEREBY FINDS that it has personal jurisdiction over Defaulting Defendants because Defaulting Defendants directly targets their business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois. Specifically, Plaintiff has provided a basis to conclude that Defaulting Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents by setting up and operating e-commerce stores that target United States consumers using one or more seller aliases, offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and have sold products using infringing versions of Plaintiff's federally registered copyrights, which are protected by U.S. Copyright Registration Nos.: VA0002379930, VA0002379888, and VA0002379894 (the "Copyright Protected Images") to residents of Illinois. In this case, Plaintiff has presented screenshot evidence that Defendant's e-commerce store is reaching out to do business with Illinois residents by operating one or more commercial, interactive internet stores through which Illinois residents can purchase products using infringing versions of the Copyright Protected Images. [*See* Dkt. Nos. 2-2 and 16-7], which includes screenshot evidence confirming that each Defendant's e-commerce store does stand ready, willing, and able to ship its unauthorized goods to customers in Illinois bearing infringing versions of the Copyright Protected Images.

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defaulting Defendants are liable for direct federal copyright infringement (17 U.S.C. § 504).

Accordingly, this Court orders that Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Default and Default Judgment is GRANTED as follows, that Defaulting Defendants is deemed in default, and that this Default Final Judgment is entered against Defaulting Defendants.

THIS COURT FURTHER ORDERS that:

1. Defaulting Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - a. using the Copyright Protected Images or any reproductions, infringing copies, or colorable imitations in any manner in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product that is not a genuine Rotita product or not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the Copyright Protected Images;
  - b. passing off, inducing, or enabling others to sell or pass off any product as a genuine Rotita product or any other product produced by Plaintiff, that is not Plaintiff's or not produced under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff and approved by Plaintiff for sale under the Copyright Protected Images;
  - c. committing any acts calculated to cause consumers to believe that Defaulting Defendants' products are those sold under the authorization, control, or supervision of Plaintiff, or are sponsored by, approved by, or otherwise connected with Plaintiff; and
  - d. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, and which bear any of Plaintiff's copyrights, including the Copyright Protected Images, or any reproductions, infringing copies or colorable imitations.

2. Defaulting Defendants and any third party with actual notice of this Order who is providing services for any of the Defaulting Defendants, or in connection with any of the Defaulting Defendants' Internet Stores, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as Amazon Payments, Inc. ("Amazon"), Walmart Inc. ("Walmart"), Alibaba Group Holding Ltd. ("Alibaba"), PPD Holdings dba Temu.com ("Temu" or the "Platform" and collectively referred to as the "Third Party Providers"), shall within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of this Order cease:
  - a. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning the Defendants Internet Stores, or any other online marketplace account that is being used to sell or is the means by which Defaulting Defendants could continue to sell infringing goods using the Copyright Protected Images; and
  - b. operating and/or hosting websites that are involved with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product bearing the Copyright Protected Images or any reproductions, infringing copies or colorable imitations thereof that is not a genuine Rotita product or not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the Copyright Protected Images.
3. Upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of this Order, including the Third-Party Providers as defined in Paragraph 2, shall within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of such notice, disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defaulting Defendants in connection with the sale of infringing goods using the Copyright Protected Images.
4. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2), Plaintiff is awarded statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendant kongilan in the amount of three-thousand dollars (\$3,000.00), which

shall then be enhanced to six-thousand dollars (\$6,000.00) for the willful infringement of the Copyright Protected Images as set forth below. Under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2), Plaintiff is awarded statutory damages against the Defaulting Defendant Newspeed in the amount of one-hundred and thirty-seven thousand dollars (\$137,000.00), which shall then be enhanced to two-hundred and seventy-four thousand dollars (\$274,000.00) for the willful infringement of the Copyright Protected Images as set forth below.

Defendant kongilan:

| <b>Temu infringing goods id<br/>(kongilan)<br/>Temu mall id:<br/>464399155033</b> | <b>Copyright</b> | <b>Statutory<br/>Request<br/>Award</b> | <b>Treble Enhanced<br/>for Willful<br/>Infringement<br/>Award</b> |
|---|------------------|--|---|
| 601099518236932   | VA0002379894     | \$ 3,000                               | \$ 6,000.00   |
|   |                  | <b>TOTAL:</b>                          | <b>\$6,000.00</b>   |

Defendant Newspeed:

| <b>Temu infringing goods id<br/>(Newspeed)<br/>Temu mall id:<br/>4895750080846</b> | <b>Copyright</b> | <b>Statutory<br/>Request<br/>Amount</b> | <b>Treble Enhanced<br/>for Willful<br/>Infringement<br/>Request</b> |
|--|------------------|---|---|
| 601099514925110  | VA0002379894     | \$25,000                                | \$50,000.00   |
| 601099514925626  | VA0002379930     | \$15,000                                | \$30,000.00   |
| 601099514950202  | VA0002379888     | \$30,000                                | \$60,000.00   |
| 601099514950382  | VA0002379888     | \$7,000                                 | \$14,000.00   |
| 601099515953410  | VA0002379888     | \$30,000                                | \$60,000.00   |
| 601099516196895  | VA0002379888     | \$30,000                                | \$60,000.00   |
|  |                  | <b>TOTAL:</b>                           | <b>\$274,000.00</b>   |

This statutory award is appropriate to balance the goals of deterrence and providing some measure of compensation without crossing the line into over-deterrence and punishment. The Defendants

sold substantial quantities of the infringing goods, R. 31-3 at 2, and indeed Defendant Newspeed in particular sold tens of thousands of units. At the same time, the revenue figures are higher (by definition) than the profit reaped, so treble damages would be a bridge too far in setting the award. The double-damages award strikes the right balance.


5. Any Third Party Providers holding funds for Defaulting Defendant, including Temu, Amazon, Walmart, PayPal, Alibaba and WISH, shall, within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of this Order, permanently restrain and enjoin any accounts connected to Defaulting Defendants or the Defendants' Internet Store from transferring or disposing of any funds (up to the statutory damages awarded in Paragraph 4 above) or other of Defaulting Defendants' assets.
6. All monies (up to the amount of the statutory damages awarded in Paragraph 4 above) currently restrained in Defaulting Defendants' financial accounts, including monies held by Third Party Providers such as Amazon, Walmart, PayPal, Alibaba, and Temu, are hereby released to Plaintiff as partial payment of the above-identified damages, and Third Party Providers, such as Amazon, Walmart, Alibaba, and Temu, are ordered to release to Plaintiff the amounts from Defaulting Defendants' financial accounts within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of this Order.
7. Until Plaintiff has recovered full payment of monies owed to it by any Defaulting Defendants, Plaintiff shall have the ongoing authority to commence supplemental proceedings under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69.
8. In the event that Plaintiff identifies any additional online marketplace accounts or financial accounts owned by Defaulting Defendants, Plaintiff may send notice of any supplemental

proceeding, including a citation to discover assets, to Defaulting Defendants by e-mail at any e-mail addresses provided for Defaulting Defendants by third parties.

9. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs against the Defaulted Defendants. The Plaintiff may submit a "Fee Award" pursuant to LR 54.3 supporting the attorneys' fees and costs requested.
10. The two-thousand dollars (\$2,000) surety bond posted by Plaintiff is hereby released to Plaintiff or its counsel, Bayramoglu Law Offices. The Clerk of the Court is directed to return the surety bond previously deposited with the Clerk of the Court to Plaintiff's counsel.

This is a Final Default Judgment.

Dated: May 28, 2025

  
Honorable Judge Edmond E. Chang  
United States District Judge